Independent Accountant’s Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

June 1, 2020

The Honorable Hugh E. Weathers, Commissioner
South Carolina Department of Agriculture
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described in Attachment 1, which were agreed to by the management of the South Carolina Department of Agriculture (the Department), on the systems, processes and behaviors related to financial activity of the Department for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019. The Department's management is responsible for the systems, processes and behaviors related to financial activity. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described in Attachment 1 either for the purpose for which the agreed-upon procedures report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the systems, processes and behaviors related to financial activity of the Department. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures; other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The concept of materiality does not apply to findings to be reported in an agreed-upon procedures engagement. Therefore, all findings from the application of the agreed-upon procedures must be reported unless the definition of materiality is agreed to by the specified parties. Management of the Department has agreed that the following deficiencies will not be included in the State Auditor’s Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures:

- Errors of less than $1,000 related to cash receipts and non-payroll cash disbursements transactions.
- Errors of less than $1,000 related to reporting packages.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management of the South Carolina Department of Agriculture and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

George L. Kennedy, III, CPA
State Auditor
South Carolina Office of the State Auditor  
Agreed - Upon Procedures Related to the South Carolina Department of Agriculture (P16)  

Cash Receipts/Revenues  

1. Compare current year revenues at the fund and account level from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year. Obtain from management an understanding of variations in G/L Account 4390080000 (Farmers Market Revenue) and G/L Account 4536060000 (Sale of Land) in the Earmarked Fund and G/L Account 4280070000 (Federal Grant – Unrestricted) in the Federal Fund.  

2. Haphazardly select ten cash receipts transactions and inspect supporting documentation to:  
   - Ensure supporting documentation for transaction agrees with the general ledger as to amount, date, payor, document number, and account classification.  
   - Determine that revenues/receipts were deposited in a timely manner, in accordance with Proviso 117.1 of the fiscal year 2019 Appropriation Act.  
   - Ensure that both revenue collections and amounts charged are properly authorized by State law.  
   - Determine that receipts are recorded in the proper fiscal year.  

Finding  

For one receipt transaction inspected, the Department did not document when a check for $8,296 was received. As a result, we were unable to determine if the check was deposited in a timely manner in accordance with State law.  

Management’s Response  

The Department of Administration (Admin) assisted the South Carolina Department of Agriculture (the Department) with recording deposits while our Accounts Receivable Specialist was on medical leave. We did not adequately communicate the Department’s deposit procedures to Admin. Subsequently, written Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) will be drafted for instances such as this.  

Cash Disbursements/Non-Payroll Expenditures  

3. Compare current year non-payroll expenditures at the fund and account level to those of the prior year. Obtain from management an understanding of variations in G/L Account Series 504- (Fixed Charges) and 505- (Travel) in the General Fund and G/L Account Series 502- (Contractual Services) in the Federal Fund.  

4. Haphazardly select ten non-payroll disbursements and inspect supporting documentation to determine:  
   - The transaction is properly completed as required by Department procedures; invoice(s) agree(s) with general ledger as to vendor, amount, number, and date.  
   - All supporting documents and approvals required by Department procedures are present and agree with the invoice.  
   - The transaction is a bona fide expenditure of the Department.  
   - The transaction is properly classified in the general ledger.  
   - Disbursement complied with applicable State laws, rules, and regulations including the State Consolidated Procurement Code, state travel regulations etc.  
   - Disbursements are recorded in the proper fiscal year.  
   - Clerical accuracy / confirm proper sales/use tax.
Cash Disbursements/Non-Payroll Expenditures (Continued)

For federally funded cash disbursements/non-payroll expenditures, inspect supporting documentation to determine:

- Charges are necessary and reasonable for the proper administration of the program, incurred during the approved grant period, given consistent accounting treatment and applied uniformly to both federally assisted and other activities of the recipient.

5. Haphazardly select five purchasing card transactions from the Comptroller General’s (CG) listing of purchasing card transactions for fiscal year 2019 and inspect supporting documentation to determine:

- The cardholder is an authorized user and individual credit limits have been properly approved in accordance with Department policies.
- The purchase is authorized based on the cardholder’s job title/position.
- The monthly purchase summary was submitted along with applicable receipts and signed by both the supervisor and cardholder.
- The purchase did not exceed the single transaction limit or the individual credit limit and there was no indication of transaction splitting.

We found no exceptions as a result of these procedures.

Payroll

6. Compute the percentage distribution of fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compare to the actual distribution of recorded personal service expenditures by fund source. Obtain an explanation of variations greater than 10%.

7. Compare the percentage change in personal service expenditures between the current year and prior year to the percentage change in employer contributions expenditures between the current year and prior year. Obtain an explanation of changes greater than 10% when the proportion of employer contribution to personal service expenditures also varies at a rate greater than 5% between fiscal years.

8. Haphazardly select ten employees who terminated employment during the fiscal year to determine if they were removed from the payroll in accordance with the Department’s policies and procedures, that the employee’s last pay check was properly calculated and that the employee’s leave payout was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.

We found no exceptions as a result of these procedures.

Journal Entries and Transfers

9. Haphazardly select ten journal entries and transfers for the fiscal year to:

- Trace postings to the general ledger, confirming amounts agree with supporting documentation.
- Confirm transaction is properly approved.
- Inspect supporting documentation to confirm the purpose of the transaction.

We found no exceptions as a result of these procedures.

Reporting Packages

10. Obtain copies of fiscal year end reporting packages submitted to the CG. Inspect the Master Reporting Package Checklist to determine the appropriate reporting packages were submitted by the due date established by the CG’s Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual.
Reporting Packages (Continued)

11. In addition to the procedure above, perform the following:

- **Other Receivables Reporting Package**

  Haphazardly select three receivables to determine if reported amounts are accurate based on inspection of the South Carolina Enterprise Information System (SCEIS) general ledger, the SCEIS Yearend Rptg - Accounts Receivable Current with Customer report and/or Department prepared records.

- **Operating Leases Reporting Package**

  Confirm that the Department submitted copies of all leases in accordance with the CG’s reporting package instructions.

- **Capital Assets Reporting Package**

  Determine if responses and reported amounts are reasonable/accurate based on inspection of the SCEIS general ledger, the SCEIS Asset History Sheet and/or Department prepared records.

- **Accounts Payable**

  Determine if responses and reported amounts are reasonable/accurate based on the inspection of the SCEIS general ledger, SCEIS Yearend Rptg - Prior Year Payables with Vendor and/or Department prepared records. Haphazardly select five payables for inspection to determine proper reporting as a payable at year end.

- **Subsequent Events Questionnaire**

  Determine if responses are reasonable/accurate and any required supplemental information was properly prepared and submitted based on inspection of the SCEIS general ledger and/or Department prepared records. In addition, haphazardly select two payables from the Subsequent Events Accounts Payable Worksheet and determine if the amounts were properly classified, calculated and reported and excluded from the original Accounts Payable Reporting Package submission.

We found no exceptions as a result of these procedures.

**Assets and Personal Property**

12. Select two capital asset acquisitions and inspect supporting documentation, the SCEIS general ledger and the SCEIS Asset History Sheet to determine that each asset was properly capitalized and posted to the general ledger as to amount and account and assigned the proper useful life in accordance with the CG’s Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual.

13. For the Department’s three assets under construction (AUC), inquire with management and determine:

- The asset under construction was for a project that was properly approved by the Joint Bond Review Committee/State Fiscal Accountability Authority.
- The project related to the asset under construction was accurately included and reported on the South Carolina Comprehensive Permanent Improvement Plan.
- The progress and status of the asset under construction at the end of the fiscal year end and whether the project was ongoing or substantially complete.
- The financial activity for the asset under construction was properly tracked and recorded in SCEIS.
14. Confirm that an inventory of Department property, excluding expendables, was completed during the fiscal year as required by South Carolina Code of Laws Section 10-1-140.

We found no exceptions as a result of these procedures.

15. Select the three controls identified through the SCEIS GRC system that were in place during fiscal year 2019 and inspect mitigating control documentation. Confirm with management the controls are operating as designed.

We found no exceptions as a result of these procedures.

16. Confirm that the Department submitted to the State Human Affairs Commission employment and filled vacancy data by race and sex by October thirty-first of the fiscal year in accordance with Proviso 117.13 of the fiscal year 2019 Appropriation Act.

17. Confirm compliance with the selected agreed-upon Department-specific state provisos by inquiring with management and observing supporting documentation, where applicable.

We found no exceptions as a result of these procedures.

18. Through inquiry and inspection, determine if the Department has taken appropriate corrective action on the findings reported during the engagement for the prior fiscal year.

We found no exceptions as a result of these procedures.