Members of the Commission on Consumer Affairs
South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described in Attachment 1, which were agreed to by the management of the South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs (the Department), on the systems, processes and behaviors related to financial activity of the Department for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017. The Department’s management is responsible for the systems, processes and behaviors related to financial activity. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described in Attachment 1 either for the purpose for which the agreed-upon procedures report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the systems, processes and behaviors related to financial activity of the Department. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The concept of materiality does not apply to findings to be reported in an agreed-upon procedures engagement. Therefore, all findings from the application of the agreed-upon procedures must be reported unless the definition of materiality is agreed to by the specified parties. Management of the Department has agreed that the following deficiencies will not be included in the State Auditor’s Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures:

- Clerical errors of less than $1,000 related to processing cash receipts and cash disbursements transactions unless the errors occur in ten percent or more of the transaction class inspected.
- Clerical errors of less than $1,000 related to reporting packages.
- Errors in applying account coding definitions to accounting transactions unless it is determined that ten percent or more of the accounting transactions inspected were found to be in error.
- Reporting packages which are submitted less than three business days after the due date unless it is determined that more than two of the reporting packages were submitted late.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the governing body and management of the South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

George L. Kennedy, III, CPA
State Auditor
South Carolina Office of the State Auditor  
Agreed Upon Procedures - South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs (R28)  

Cash Receipts/Revenues  
1. Compared current year revenues at the subfund and account level from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year. Obtained and documented an understanding of variations over $500 and 10% for the General Fund, $19,000 and 10% for the Earmarked Fund, and $2,000 and 10% for the Restricted Fund.  

2. Randomly selected twenty-five cash receipts transactions and inspected supporting documentation to:  
   • Agree transaction amount, date, payor, document number, and account coding to the general ledger.  
   • Determine that revenues/receipts were deposited in a timely manner, in accordance with Proviso 117.1 of the Appropriation Act.  
   • Ensure that both revenue collections and amounts charged are properly authorized by law.  

3. Randomly selected sixteen cash receipts and inspected supporting documentation to determine that receipts are recorded in the proper fiscal year.  
   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.  

Cash Disbursements/Non-Payroll Expenditures  
4. Compared current year non-payroll expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. Obtained and documented an understanding of variations over $26,000 and 10%.  

5. Randomly selected twenty-five non-payroll disbursements and inspected supporting documentation to determine:  
   • Transaction was properly completed as required by Department procedures; invoice(s) agree(s) with general ledger as to vendor, amount, number, and date.  
   • All supporting documents and approvals required by Department procedures were present and agreed with the invoice.  
   • The transaction was a bona fide expenditure of the Department, properly coded to the general ledger.  
   • Disbursement complied with applicable State laws, rules, and regulations including the State Consolidated Procurement Code, state travel regulations, etc.  
   • Clerical accuracy / confirm proper sales/use tax.  

6. Randomly selected sixteen non-payroll disbursements and inspected supporting documentation to determine that disbursements are recorded in the proper fiscal year.  
   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.  

Payroll  
7. Compared current year payroll expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. Obtained an understanding of variations over $26,000 and 10%.
Payroll (Continued)

8. Randomly selected six employee payments and inspected supporting documentation during the fiscal year to:
   
   • Obtain and inspect the employee’s payroll and/or personnel file for various forms, communications, etc., to confirm that the person is a bona fide employee of the Department.
   • Agree gross pay to supporting documentation confirming all changes to gross salary for the year. Determine that all changes have been properly approved.

9. Haphazardly selected five employees hired during the fiscal year to determine if they were added to the payroll in accordance with the Department’s policies and procedures and that their first pay check was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.

10. Haphazardly selected five employees who terminated employment during the fiscal year to determine if they were removed from the payroll in accordance with the Department’s policies and procedures, that the employee’s last pay check was properly calculated and that the employee’s leave payout was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.

11. Compared the percentage change in personal service expenditures between the current year and prior year to the percentage change in employer contributions expenditures between the current year and prior year. Obtained an explanation of variations over 10%.

12. Computed the percentage distribution of fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compared to the actual distribution of recorded personal service expenditures by fund source. Obtained an explanation of variations over 10%.

Finding

For one of the five terminations inspected we were not able to confirm that the employee was removed from the payroll in accordance with the Department’s policies and procedures due to a lack of supporting documentation.

Management’s Response

We agree with this finding. The circumstance underlying the deficiency does not occur frequently at DCA thus slipped through the cracks. Appropriate staff have been reminded of the need to review temporary contracts prior to engaging former employee services and make appropriate updates at inception and termination.

Journal Entries and Transfers

13. Randomly selected four journal entries and one transfer for the fiscal year to:
   
   • Trace postings to the general ledger, confirming amounts agree with supporting documentation.
   • Confirm transaction is properly approved.
   • Inspect supporting documentation to confirm the purpose of the transaction.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

Appropriation Act

14. Inspected the Appropriation Act work program, provided to and completed by management, confirming areas of noncompliance, if any.
Appropriation Act (Continued)

15. Confirmed compliance with Department-specific state provisos by inquiring with management and observing supporting documentation, where applicable.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

Reporting Packages

16. Obtained copies of fiscal year end reporting packages submitted to the Office of the State Comptroller General (CG). Inspected the Master Reporting Package Checklist to determine the appropriate reporting packages were prepared and submitted by the due date established by the CG’s Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual.

17. In addition to the procedure above, we performed the following:

- **Capital Assets Reporting Package**
  
  Determined if responses and reported amounts are reasonable/accurate based on inspection of the South Carolina Enterprise Information System (SCEIS) general ledger, the SCEIS Asset History Sheet and/or Department prepared records.

  Haphazardly selected three capital asset acquisitions and inspected supporting documentation to determine the asset was properly capitalized and posted to the general ledger as to amount and account and assigned the proper useful life in accordance with State policy.

- **Operating Leases Reporting Package**
  
  Determined if amounts agree to the SCEIS general ledger, the SCEIS Yearend Reporting Operating Lease Expense with Vendor report and/or Department prepared records. In addition, based on inspection of invoices and lease agreements, determined if rental payments were properly classified, coded and calculated by inspecting and recalculating the following reported amounts: (1) Five haphazardly selected contingent rental payments; (2) One haphazardly selected one-time rental payment; and (3) All operating lease future minimum payments.

- **Accounts Payable Reporting Package**
  
  Determined if responses and reported amounts are reasonable/accurate based on the inspection of the SCEIS general ledger, SCEIS Yearend Reporting - Prior Year Payables with Vendor and/or Department prepared records. Haphazardly selected four payable transactions to determine if reported amounts were properly identified, classified, and reported based on the inspection of the applicable documentation.

- **Subsequent Events Questionnaire**
  
  Determined if responses are reasonable/accurate and any required supplemental information was properly prepared and submitted based on inspection of the SCEIS general ledger and/or Department prepared records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

Composite Reservoir Accounts

18. Obtained a listing of Department composite reservoir accounts and confirmed with Department management that the listing is complete.
Composite Reservoir Accounts (Continued)

19. Obtained fiscal year monthly reconciliations for each composite reservoir account and determined if the reconciliations were timely performed and properly documented in accordance with State regulations.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

Minutes

20. We inspected the Department’s approved minutes beginning with the end date of prior year fieldwork through the end of our fieldwork.

   We found no other matters related to our agreed-upon procedures.

Status of Prior Findings

21. Through inquiry and inspection, determined if the Department had taken appropriate corrective action on the findings reported during the engagement for the prior fiscal year.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.