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and
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This report resulting from the application of certain agreed-upon procedures to certain internal controls and accounting records of the South Carolina Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, was issued by Scott and Company LLC, Certified Public Accountants, under contract with the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please let us know.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA
Deputy State Auditor

RHGjr/cwc
Contents

Independent Accountant’s Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures ...................... 1-5
Accountant’s Comments
   Section A – Other Weakness ................................................................. 6
   Expenditures Cutoff ............................................................................ 7
Section B – Status of Prior Findings ......................................................... 8
Management’s Response ........................................................................ 9
Independent Accountant’s Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Mr. Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., Deputy State Auditor
State of South Carolina
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the governing body and management of the South Carolina Department of Parks, Recreation, and Tourism (the “Department”) and the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor (the “State Auditor”), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Department for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, in the areas addressed. The Department’s management is responsible for its financial records, internal controls and compliance with State laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

1. **Cash Receipts and Revenues**
   - We inspected 25 recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Department’s policies and procedures and State regulations.
   - We inspected 25 recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
   - We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law.
   - We compared current year recorded revenues at the subfund and account level from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that revenue was classified properly in the Department’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($260,000 – earmarked fund, $9,700 – restricted fund and $25,000 – federal fund) and +/- 10 percent.
• We made inquiries of management pertaining to the Department’s policies for accountability and security over permits, licenses, and other documents issued for money. We observed Department personnel performing their duties to determine if they understood and followed the described policies.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

2. Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures

• We inspected 25 recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Department’s policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of the Department, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

• We inspected 25 recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.

• We compared current year expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the Department’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($97,000 – general fund, $260,000 – earmarked fund, $9,700 – restricted fund and $25,000 – federal fund) and +/- 10 percent.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. Our finding as a result of these procedures is presented as Expenditure Cutoff in the Accountant’s Comments section of this report.

3. Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures

• We inspected 25 recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the selected payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; payroll transactions were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements and processed in accordance with the Department’s policies and procedures and State regulations.

• We inspected payroll transactions for 5 new employees and 5 individuals who terminated employment to determine if the employees were added and/or removed from the payroll in accordance with the Department’s policies and procedures, that the employee’s first and/or last pay check was properly calculated and that the employee’s leave payout was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.
• We compared current year payroll expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the Department’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($97,000 – general fund, $260,000 – earmarked fund, $9,700 – restricted fund and $25,000 – federal fund) and +/- 10 percent.

• We compared the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions and computed the percentage distribution of recorded fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compared the computed distribution to the actual distribution of recorded payroll expenditures by fund source. We investigated changes of +/- 5 percent to ensure that payroll expenditures were classified properly in the Department’s accounting records.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

4. **Journal Entries, Operating Transfers and Appropriation Transfers**

• We inspected 25 journal entries, 5 operating transfers, and 25 appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, the purpose of the transactions was documented and explained, the transactions were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the transactions were processed in accordance with the Department’s policies and procedures and State regulations.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

5. **Composite Reservoir Accounts**

*Reconciliations*

• We obtained all monthly reconciliations of balances in the Department’s accounting records to those reflected on the State Treasurer’s Office monthly reports as prepared by the Department for the year ended June 30, 2012, and inspected selected reconciliations of balances in the Department’s accounting records to those reflected on the State Treasurer’s Office monthly reports to determine if accounts reconciled. For the selected reconciliations, we determined if they were timely performed and properly documented in accordance with State regulations, recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Department’s general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the State Treasurer’s Office monthly reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the Department’s accounting records.
Cash Receipts and Revenues

- We inspected 16 recorded composite account receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Department’s policies and procedures and State regulations.
- We inspected 16 recorded composite account receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law. We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the Department.

Non-payroll Disbursements and Expenditures

- We inspected 22 recorded composite account disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Department’s policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of the Department, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- We inspected 22 recorded composite account disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.

The reconciliations selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

6. Appropriation Act

- We inspected Department documents, observed processes, and/or made inquiries of Department personnel to determine the Department’s compliance with Appropriation Act general and Department specific provisos.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

7. Reporting Packages

- We obtained copies of all reporting packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, prepared by the Department and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We inspected them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual requirements and if the amounts reported in the reporting packages agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
8. Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance

- We obtained a copy of the Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance for the year ended June 30, 2012, prepared by the Department and submitted to the State Auditor. We inspected it to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor’s letter of instructions and if the amounts agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

9. Status of Prior Findings

- There were no findings reported in the Accountant’s Comments section of the Independent Accountant’s Report on the Department for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. We applied no procedures to the Department’s accounting records and internal controls for the year ended June 30, 2011.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on specified elements, accounts, or items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor, the governing body and management of the Department, and the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Columbia, South Carolina
June 25, 2013
ACCOUNTANT’S COMMENTS
SECTION A – OTHER WEAKNESS

Management of each State agency is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls to ensure compliance with State Laws, Rules or Regulations. The procedures agreed to by the agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to determine whether any violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations occurred.

The condition described in this section has been identified while performing the agreed-upon procedures but is not considered a violation of State laws, rules or regulations.
Expenditures Cutoff

**Condition:**

We inspected twenty-five non-payroll transactions to determine if they were recorded in the correct fiscal year. One of the twenty-five transactions related to printing charges of $1,169.01 made in June 2012 was incorrectly recorded as fiscal year 2013 expenditure in July 2012. The vendor’s invoice was dated June 28, 2012.

**Cause:**

The Department incorrectly recorded the FY2012 expenditure in FY2013.

**Effect:**

There was an understatement of expenditures for FY2012.

**Criteria:**

An effective internal control system includes procedures designed to properly record expenditures in the correct fiscal year.

**Recommendation:**

We recommend the Department improve procedures to ensure that expenditures are recorded in the correct fiscal year. This will ensure accurate financial reporting.
SECTION B – STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

There were no findings reported in the Accountant’s Comments section of the Independent Accountant’s Report on the Department for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, and dated June 14, 2011. We applied no procedures to the Department’s accounting records and internal controls for the year ended June 30, 2011.
June 25, 2013

Mr. Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA  
Interim State Auditor  
Office of the State Auditor  
1401 Main Street, Suite 1200  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

We have reviewed the Accountant's Comments for the year ended June 30, 2012 and herein submit our comments:

Accountant's Comments

Expenditure Cutoff
We agree with the comment and will work with the accounts payable staff to ensure expenditures are recorded in the correct fiscal year.

We appreciate the assistance that the management letter provides to us in our internal control and fiscal management efforts and hereby give our release authorization. We thank you for all your help and advice during our audit.

Sincerely,

Amy Duffy,  
Chief of Staff

cc: Duane Parish  
Yvette Sistare  
George Ropp