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INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT’S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

March 8, 2000

The Honorable D. Leslie Tindal, Commissioner
South Carolina Department of Agriculture
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the Commissioner and the management of the South Carolina Department of Agriculture solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Department for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1999, in the areas addressed. This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. We tested selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records and internal controls over the tested receipt transactions were adequate. We also tested selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in the State's accounting system (STARS) as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if recorded revenues were in agreement. We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law. We compared current year recorded revenues from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year to determine the reasonableness of collected and recorded amounts by revenue account. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
2. We tested selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records, were bona fide disbursements of the Department, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations and if internal controls over the tested disbursement transactions were adequate. We also tested selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those on various STARS reports to determine if recorded expenditures were in agreement. We compared current year expenditures to those of the prior year to determine the reasonableness of amounts paid and recorded by expenditure account. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

3. We tested selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the tested payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; payroll transactions, including employee payroll deductions, were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements; and internal controls over the tested payroll transactions were adequate. We tested selected payroll vouchers to determine if the vouchers were properly approved and if the gross payroll agreed to amounts recorded in the general ledger and in STARS. We also tested payroll transactions for selected new employees and those who terminated employment to determine if internal controls over these transactions were adequate. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to various STARS reports to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were in agreement. We performed other procedures such as comparing recorded current year payroll expenditures to those of the prior year; comparing the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in recorded employer contributions; and computing the percentage distribution of recorded fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and comparing the computed distribution to the percentage distribution of recorded payroll expenditures by fund source to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were reasonable by expenditure account. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

4. We tested selected recorded journal entries and all appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, were adequately documented and explained, were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the internal controls over these transactions were adequate. The journal entries selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
5. We tested selected entries and monthly totals in the subsidiary records of the Department to determine if the amounts were mathematically accurate; the numerical sequences of selected document series were complete; the selected monthly totals were accurately posted to the general ledger; and the internal controls over the tested transactions were adequate. The transactions selected for testing were chosen judgmentally. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

6. We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the Department for the year ended June 30, 1999, and tested selected reconciliations of balances in the Department’s accounting records to those in STARS as reflected on the Comptroller General’s reports to determine if they were accurate and complete. For the selected reconciliations, we recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Department’s general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the STARS reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the Department’s accounting records and/or in STARS. The reconciliations selected for testing were chosen randomly. The Department had not implemented corrective action regarding the Reconciliations findings presented in our report on the Department for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1998. See Section B of the Accountant’s Comments section of this report regarding the status of those findings as determined by current year procedures.

7. We tested the Department’s compliance with all applicable financial provisions of the South Carolina Code of Laws, Appropriation Act, and other laws, rules, and regulations for fiscal year 1999. Our findings as a result of these procedures are referenced in procedures 6 and 9 of this report.

8. We reviewed the status of the deficiencies described in the findings reported in the Accountant’s Comments section of the State Auditor’s Report on the Department resulting from our engagement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1998, to determine if adequate corrective action has been taken. We determined the Department had not implemented corrective action regarding the Reconciliations findings as of June 30, 1999, because the 1998 report was issued after June 30, 1999. See Section B of the Accountant’s Comments section of this report.

9. We obtained copies of all closing packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 1999, prepared by the Department and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We reviewed them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's GAAP Closing Procedures Manual requirements; if the amounts were reasonable; and if they agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records. Our finding as a result of these procedures is presented in Compensated Absences Closing Package in Section A of the Accountant’s Comments section of this report.
10. We obtained a copy of the schedule of federal financial assistance for the year ended June 30, 1999, prepared by the Department and submitted to the State Auditor. We reviewed it to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor’s letter of instructions; if the amounts were reasonable; and if they agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified areas, accounts, or items. Furthermore, we were not engaged to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express such opinions. Had we performed additional procedures or had we conducted an audit or review of the Department's financial statements or any part thereof, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Commissioner and of the management of the Department and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Thomas L. Wagner, Jr., CPA
State Auditor
ACCOUNTANT’S COMMENTS
SECTION A - MATERIAL WEAKNESS AND/OR VIOLATION OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS

The procedures agreed to by the agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the requirements of State Laws, Rules, or Regulations occurred and whether internal accounting controls over certain transactions were adequate. Management of the entity is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the specific internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Therefore, the presence of a material weakness or violation will preclude management from asserting that the entity has effective internal controls.

The condition described in this section has been identified as a material weakness or violation of State Laws, Rules, or Regulations.
COMPENSATED ABSENCES CLOSING PACKAGE

The Department is required to submit GAAP (generally accepted accounting principles) closing packages to the Comptroller General’s Office at the end of each fiscal year. The requirements and instructions are included in the GAAP Closing Procedures Manual (GAAP Manual). Section 1.8 of the GAAP Manual provides, “Each agency’s executive director and finance director are responsible for submitting ... closing package forms ... that are: •Accurate and completed in accordance with instructions.” The Department submitted an inaccurate compensated absences closing package for fiscal year 1999.

The Department used incorrect leave balances for 71 of its 169 employees when calculating its annual leave liability. GAAP Manual Section 3.17 instructs preparers to calculate the annual leave liability by multiplying each employee’s actual unused annual leave balance at year-end by the employee’s hourly rate in effect at year-end. However, the Department calculated the annual leave liability by multiplying the employee’s hourly rate by the lesser of (1) the employee’s actual annual leave balance at year-end or (2) 45 days less annual leave taken between January 1 and June 30. As a result, the Department understated its annual leave liability on its compensated absences closing package by $112,582.

We recommend the Department carefully review and follow GAAP Manual instructions. The Department should ensure that personnel who complete and independently review closing packages are knowledgeable of GAAP and the guidance for preparation of closing packages.
SECTION B - STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

During the current engagement, we reviewed the status of corrective action taken on each of the findings reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on the South Carolina Department of Agriculture for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1998, and dated June 25, 1999. We determined that the Department has taken adequate corrective action on the findings regarding Expenditures and Personnel Records.

In the report resulting from our 1998 engagement, we described three deficiencies in the Department's reconciliation procedures. We recommended the agency (1) perform monthly reconciliations of revenues, expenditures, and ending cash balances for all of its funds at the subfund and object code level of detail and (2) modify its accounting software to provide for recording revenues at the subfund and object code level. The Department had not implemented corrective action as of June 30, 1999, in response to our 1998 report findings regarding Reconciliations because that report was issued after June 30, 1999. In response to our inquiries during the current engagement, we were told that the Department has developed and implemented procedures to correct the reconciliations weaknesses reported in the prior year. However, because the procedures were implemented after June 30, 1999, we did not perform tests to determine if the new procedures are operating effectively. We have not repeated those findings in Section A in the Accountant's Comments section of this report.
MANAGEMENT’S RESPONSE
April 27, 2000

Mr. Thomas L. Wagner, Jr., CPA  
State Auditor  
1401 Main Street, Suite 1200  
Columbia, SC 29201

Dear Mr. Wagner:

The Compensated Absences Closing Package was submitted to the Comptroller General's Office with the error as stated in the audit. The department understated its compensated absence liability by $112,582. In the future, the GAAP Closing Package forms will be reviewed by personnel who are independent of the preparation of the closing package and are knowledgeable of GAAP and the guidelines of the closing package.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

D. LESLIE TINDAL

Accountant's Note:

Regarding the current status and corrective action for the Reconciliations findings, see Section B of the Accountant's Comments.