

South Carolina Department of Juvenile Justice  
Independent Accountants' Report on  
Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures  
*for the year ended June 30, 2006*

*State of South Carolina*



*Office of the State Auditor*

1401 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1200  
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

RICHARD H. GILBERT, JR., CPA  
DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

(803) 253-4160  
FAX (803) 343-0723

June 11, 2007

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor  
and  
Mr. William R. Byars, Jr., Director  
South Carolina Department of Juvenile Justice  
Columbia, South Carolina

This report on the application of certain agreed-upon procedures to the accounting records of the South Carolina Department of Juvenile Justice for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, was issued by Scott McElveen, L.L.P., Certified Public Accountants, under contract with the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please let us know.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Richard H. Gilbert, Jr.", written in a cursive style.

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA  
Deputy State Auditor

RHGjr/trb

## Contents

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures.....	1-5
Accountants' Comments	
Section A – Violations of State Laws, Rules, or Regulations .....	6
Section B – Status of Prior Findings.....	6
Management's Response .....	7

## Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

---

Mr. Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA  
Deputy State Auditor  
State of South Carolina  
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the Members of the Board and management of the South Carolina Department of Juvenile Justice (the "Agency") and the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor (the "State Auditor"), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Agency for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, in the areas addressed. The Agency's management is responsible for its financial records, internal controls and compliance with State laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

### 1. Cash Receipts and Revenues

- We inspected 25 randomly selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.
- We inspected 10 randomly selected recorded receipts before and after year-end to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in the State's accounting system ("STARS") as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if recorded revenues were in agreement.
- We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittances were supported by law.

- We compared current year recorded revenues at the subfund and object code level from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and Federal funds to ensure that revenue was classified properly in the Agency's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed-upon materiality levels (\$240,000 – general fund, \$98,000 – earmarked fund, \$13,000 – restricted fund, and \$28,000 – Federal fund) and +/- 10 percent.
- We made inquiries of management pertaining to the Agency's policies for accountability and security over permits, licenses, and other documents issued for money. We observed Agency personnel performing their duties to determine if they understood and followed the described policies.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

## **2. Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**

- We inspected 25 randomly selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Agency's policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of the Agency, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- We inspected 10 randomly selected recorded non-payroll disbursements before and after year-end to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded expenditures were in agreement.
- We compared current year expenditures at the subfund and major object code level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and Federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the Agency's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed-upon materiality levels (\$240,000 – general fund, \$98,000 – earmarked fund, \$13,000 – restricted fund, and \$28,000 – Federal fund) and +/- 10 percent.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

## **3. Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**

- We inspected 25 randomly selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the selected payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; payroll transactions, including employee payroll deductions, were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements and processed in accordance with the Agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.
- We inspected 5 randomly selected payroll vouchers to determine if the vouchers were properly approved and if the gross payroll agreed to amounts recorded in the general ledger and in STARS.

- We inspected payroll transactions for 5 randomly selected new employees and 5 who terminated employment to determine if the employees were added and/or removed from the payroll in accordance with the Agency's policies and procedures, that the employee's first and/or last pay check was properly calculated and that the employee's leave payout was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.
- We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were in agreement.
- We compared current year payroll expenditures at the subfund and major object code level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and Federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the Agency's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed-upon materiality levels (\$240,000 – general fund, \$98,000 – earmarked fund, \$13,000 – restricted fund, and \$28,000 – Federal fund) and +/- 10 percent.
- We compared the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions; and computed the percentage distribution of recorded fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compared the computed distribution to the actual distribution of recorded payroll expenditures by fund source. We investigated changes of 5% or more to ensure that payroll expenditures were classified properly in the Agency's accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### **4. Journal Entries, Operating Transfers and Appropriation Transfers**

- We inspected 5 recorded journal entries, 5 operating transfers, and 5 appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, the purpose of the transactions was documented and explained, the transactions were properly approved when necessary, and were mathematically correct; and the transactions were processed in accordance with the Agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

#### **5. General Ledger and Subsidiary Ledgers**

- We inspected selected entries and monthly totals in the subsidiary records of the Agency to determine if the amounts were mathematically accurate; the numerical sequences of selected document series were complete; the selected monthly totals were accurately posted to the general ledger; and selected entries were processed in accordance with the Agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

## **6. Reconciliations**

- We obtained monthly reconciliations prepared by the Agency for the year ended June 30, 2006, and inspected selected reconciliations of balances in the Agency's accounting records to those in STARS as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if accounts reconciled. For the selected reconciliations, we determined if they were timely performed and properly documented in accordance with State regulations, recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Agency's general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the STARS reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the Agency's accounting records and/or in STARS.

The individual reconciliations selected were chosen judgmentally. Our findings as a result of these procedures are presented in Section A in the Accountants' Comments section of this report.

## **7. Appropriation Act**

- We inspected the Agency's documents, observed processes, and/or made inquiries of the Agency's personnel to determine the Agency's compliance with Appropriation Act general and specific provisos.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

## **8. Closing Packages**

- We obtained copies of closing packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2006, prepared by the Agency and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We inspected them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's GAAP Closing Procedures Manual requirements and if the amounts reported in the closing packages agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

## **9. Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance**

- We obtained a copy of the schedule of Federal financial assistance for the year ended June 30, 2006, prepared by the Agency and submitted to the State Auditor. We inspected it to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor's letter of instructions and; if the amounts agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

## **9. Status of Prior Findings**

We inquired about the status of the findings reported in the Accountants' Comments section of the Independent Accountants' Report on the Agency resulting from our engagement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, to determine if Agency had taken corrective action.

Our finding as a result of these procedures is presented in Section B in the Accountant's Comments section of this report.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified elements, accounts, or items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor, Members of the Board, management, and the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

*Scott McElveen, L.L.P.*

Columbia, South Carolina

May 11, 2007

**ACCOUNTANT'S COMMENTS**

## **SECTION A - VIOLATIONS OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS**

Management of each State agency is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls to ensure compliance with State Laws, Rules or Regulations. The procedures agreed to by the Agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to determine where any violations of State, Rules or Regulations occurred. The conditions described in this section have been identified as a violation of State Laws, Rules or Regulations.

### **Reconciliations not Completed nor Prepared In Accordance with STARS Manual**

Reconciliations are not prepared and completed in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Comptroller General's Office STARS Manual (Error Detection Process). The June 2006 reconciliation was not signed nor dated by the reviewer. As a result, we were unable to determine if the revenue and expense reconciliations were reviewed in a timely manner.

In addition, 12 out of 13 reconciliations tested did not have written documentation in an easy and understandable format with supporting documentation explaining the differences found between Comptroller General's records and the Agency's general ledger.

We recommend that appropriate procedures be in place to ensure that all reconciliations are properly signed off on and dated by both the preparer and the reviewer. We also recommend that adequate and sufficient explanations for reconciling items be documented and maintained to ensure sound internal control and to help mitigate any potential for unresolved reconciling items.

## **SECTION B – STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS**

There was one finding for the year ended June 30, 2005. This finding pertained to monthly reconciliations. One of our procedures was to agree the amounts from the Agency reconciliations to the Comptroller General reports. In the area of expenditures, we noted several months in which the expenditures from the reconciliations did not agree to the expenditures from the Comptroller General Office's reports. This was due to a formula error in the reconciliations in which new accounts were not being included in the totals. Agency personnel became aware of this error while performing the May of 2005 reconciliation and the error was corrected. Based upon our follow-up review of the prior year finding, the Agency corrected the unresolved issues in 2006.



South Carolina  
Department of  
Juvenile Justice

P.O. Box 21069  
Columbia, SC 29221-1069  
[www.state.sc.us/djj](http://www.state.sc.us/djj)

Mark Sanford  
Governor  
State of South Carolina

Bill Byars, Director

June 18, 2007

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA:  
Office of the State Auditor  
1401 Main Street, Suite 1200  
Columbia, SC, 29201

Mr. Gilbert:

We have received and discussed your Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures. We agree that reconciliations should be in an understandable format that consistently explains the differences between the Comptroller General's records and the Agency's general ledger. We also agree that these reconciliations should be reviewed, signed and dated in an efficient manner.

As we pointed out the previous year, our reconciliation process continues to evolve as we continue to look for more efficient ways to accomplish our goal. We will make the appropriate changes and look for improved performance in this area again next year. We appreciate your efforts and professionalism in helping to ensure accountability, integrity, and compliance within our agency.

Sincerely,

G. Randall Grant, SPHR, Deputy Director  
Administrative Services Division

Carol Seawright, MPA  
Fiscal Affairs Administrator