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INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

August 4, 2008

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor
and
Mr. Samuel B. Glover, Director
South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the management of South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (the Department), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Department for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, in the areas addressed. The Department’s management is responsible for its financial records, internal controls and compliance with State laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. **Cash Receipts and Revenues**
   - We inspected selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency's policies and procedures and State regulations.
   - We inspected selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
   - We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in the State’s accounting system (STARS) as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if recorded revenues were in agreement.
   - We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law.
   - We compared current year recorded revenues at the subfund and object code level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked and federal funds to ensure that revenue was classified properly in the agency's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($27,500 – general fund; $102,700 – earmarked fund and $5,900 – federal fund) and ± 10 percent.
• We made inquiries of management pertaining to the agency’s policies for accountability and security over permits, licenses, and other documents issued for money. We observed agency personnel performing their duties to determine if they understood and followed the described policies.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

2. Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures
• We inspected selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of the Department, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
• We inspected selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
• We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded expenditures were in agreement.
• We compared current year expenditures at the subfund and major object code level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the agency’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($87,100 – general fund, $113,900 – earmarked fund, and $6,900 – federal fund) and ± 10 percent.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

3. Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures
• We inspected selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the selected payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; payroll transactions, including employee payroll deductions, were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements and processed in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations.
• We inspected selected payroll vouchers to determine if the vouchers were properly approved and if the gross payroll agreed to amounts recorded in the general ledger and in STARS.
• We inspected payroll transactions for selected new employees and those who terminated employment to determine if the employees were added and/or removed from the payroll in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures, that the employee’s first and/or last pay check was properly calculated and that the employee’s leave payout was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.
• We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were in agreement.
• We compared the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions; and computed the percentage distribution of recorded fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compared the computed distribution to the actual distribution of recorded payroll expenditures by fund source. We investigated changes of ±5 percent to ensure that payroll expenditures were classified properly in the agency’s accounting records.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. Our finding as a result of these procedures is presented in Pay Period in the Accountant’s Comments section of this report.

4. Journal Entries, Operating Transfers and Appropriation Transfers
• We inspected selected recorded journal entries, and all operating transfers and appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, the purpose of the transactions was documented and explained, the transactions were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the transactions were processed in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations.

The individual journal entry transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

5. General Ledger and Subsidiary Ledgers
• We inspected selected entries and monthly totals in the subsidiary records of the Department to determine if the amounts were mathematically accurate; the numerical sequences of selected document series were complete; the selected monthly totals were accurately posted to the general ledger; and selected entries were processed in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations.

The transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

6. Reconciliations
• We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the Department for the year ended June 30, 2007, and inspected selected reconciliations of balances in the Department’s accounting records to those in STARS as reflected on the Comptroller General’s reports to determine if accounts reconciled. For the selected reconciliations, we determined if they were timely performed and properly documented in accordance with State regulations, recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Department’s general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the STARS reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the Department’s accounting records and/or in STARS.

The reconciliations selected were chosen randomly. Our finding as a result of these procedures is presented in Reconciliations in the Accountant’s Comments section of this report.
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7. **Appropriation Act**
   - We inspected agency documents, observed processes, and/or made inquiries of agency personnel to determine the Department’s compliance with Appropriation Act general and agency specific provisos.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

8. **Closing Packages**
   - We obtained copies of all closing packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, prepared by the Department and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We inspected them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's *GAAP Closing Procedures Manual* requirements and if the amounts reported in the closing packages agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

9. **Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance**
   - We obtained a copy of the schedule of federal financial assistance for the year ended June 30, 2007, prepared by the Department and submitted to the State Auditor. We inspected it to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor's letter of instructions; if the amounts agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

10. **Status of Prior Findings**
    - We inquired about the status of the finding reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on the Department resulting from our engagement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, to determine if the Department had taken corrective action.

    We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified elements, accounts, or items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor and of the management of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA
Deputy State Auditor
ACCOUNTANT’S COMMENTS
SECTION A - VIOLATIONS OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS

Management of each State agency is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls to ensure compliance with State Laws, Rules or Regulations. The procedures agreed to by the agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to determine whether any violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations occurred.

The conditions described in this section have been identified as violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations.
PAY PERIOD

We tested the personnel and payroll records of twenty-five newly hired employees. Two of the randomly selected employees tested were not paid in accordance with the State’s pay schedule. One employee began work on April 17, 2007. He received his first paycheck on June 1, 2007. His check included payment for work performed April 17 through May 1. The employee should have received a paycheck on May 16, 2007. The second employee began work on May 2, 2007. She received her first paycheck on June 16, 2007. Her check included payment for work performed May 2 through May 16. The employee should have received a paycheck on June 1, 2007. The employees were not paid in accordance with the State’s pay schedule because paperwork was not submitted to the Department’s payroll office in a timely manner.

Section 8-11-35 of the 1976 Code of Laws states, in part, “To provide a regular and permanent schedule for payment of employees, the payroll period begins on June 2 of the prior fiscal year with the first pay period ending on June 16 of the prior fiscal year. The payroll period continues on a twice monthly schedule as established by the State Budget and Control Board.”

We recommend the Department implement procedures to ensure that payroll information is submitted timely to ensure timely payment in accordance with the State’s pay schedule.
RECONCILIATIONS

The Department reconciles their books to records from the Comptroller General’s Office as required by the Statewide Accounting and Reporting (STARS) Manual. However the tested reconciliations contained two reconciling items that were not timely corrected. One reconciling item from the September 2006 reconciliation was not corrected until the end of the fiscal year (June 30). The second reconciling item from the April 2007 reconciliation was not corrected during the fiscal year.

Section 2.1.7.20 of the STARS Manual requires agencies perform monthly reconciliations. In addition, it requires timely correction of errors.

We recommend the Department correct all reconciling items as soon as practicable. The reviewer of the reconciliations should ensure that all reconciling items are explained and corrected.
SECTION B - STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

During the current engagement, we reviewed the status of corrective action taken on the finding reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, and dated June 13, 2007. We determined that the Department has taken adequate corrective action on the finding.
Dear Mr. Gilbert:

This letter is in response to the Accountant's Comments in the Agreed-Upon Procedures Report for the period ending June 30, 2007, for the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services.

**Accountant's Comment #1: Pay Period**
It is the Department's policy and practice to ensure consistent payments on a permanent schedule for all employees as outlined in Section 8-11-35 of the 1976 Code of Laws. Management agrees with the finding that two employees were not paid their first paycheck timely due to late submission of paperwork. We will continue to ensure that employee paperwork is submitted in a timely manner to guarantee timely processing of salary payments.

**Accountant's Comment #2: Reconciliations**
It is the Department's policy and practice to perform timely reconciliations as noted in the Agreed-Upon Procedures Report. These two instances were due to an oversight and staffing issues at the time. We have appropriate staff in place and will continue to ensure that reconciliations are done timely and accurately.

The South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services appreciates your advice and assistance. It is our commitment to continue to be good stewards of taxpayers' dollars while ensuring that our financial reporting is accurate and timely.

Sincerely,

Kela E. Thomas
Deputy Director for Administration

cc: Samuel Glover, Director
Cheryl Mack Thompson, Director of Fiscal and Materials Management
Patrice Johnson, Director of Human Resources and Records Management
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