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INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT’S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

September 3, 2013

The Honorable Nikki R. Haley, Governor
and
Members of the Commission
South Carolina State Commission for Minority Affairs
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the governing body and management of the South Carolina State Commission for Minority Affairs (the Commission), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Commission for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, in the areas addressed. The Commission’s management is responsible for its financial records, internal controls and compliance with State laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. **Cash Receipts and Revenues**
   - We inspected selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations.
   - We inspected selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
   - We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law.
   - We compared current year recorded revenues at the subfund and general ledger account code level from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the earmarked fund to ensure that revenue was classified properly in the agency’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality level ($4,500 – earmarked fund) and ± 10 percent.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
2. **Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**
   - We inspected selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of Commission, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
   - We inspected selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
   - We compared current year expenditures at the subfund and general ledger account code level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general and earmarked funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the agency’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($7,100 – general fund and $4,600 – earmarked fund) and ± 10 percent.

   The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

3. **Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**
   - We inspected selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the selected payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; payroll transactions, including employee payroll deductions, were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements and processed in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations.
   - We compared current year payroll expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general and earmarked funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the agency’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($7,100 – general fund and $4,600 – earmarked fund) and ± 10 percent.
   - We compared the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions; and computed the percentage distribution of recorded fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compared the computed distribution to the actual distribution of recorded payroll expenditures by fund source. We investigated changes of ± 10 percent to ensure that payroll expenditures were classified properly in the agency’s accounting records.

   The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
4. **Journal Entries and Appropriation Transfers**
   - We inspected selected recorded journal entries and appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, the purpose of the transactions was documented and explained, the transactions were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the transactions were processed in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

5. **Appropriation Act**
   - We inspected agency documents, observed processes, and/or made inquiries of agency personnel to determine the Agency’s compliance with Appropriation Act general and agency specific provisos.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

6. **Reporting Packages**
   - We obtained copies of all reporting packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, prepared by the Commission and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We inspected them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual requirements and if the amounts reported in the reporting packages agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

   Our finding as a result of these procedures is presented in Reporting Packages in the Accountant’s Comments section of this report.

7. **Status of Prior Findings**
   - We inquired about the status of the findings reported in the Accountant’s Comments section of the State Auditor’s Report on the Commission resulting from our engagement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, to determine if Commission had taken corrective action. We applied no procedures to the Commission’s accounting records and internal controls for the year ended June 30, 2011.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified elements, accounts, or items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor and of the governing body and management of the South Carolina State Commission for Minority Affairs and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA
Deputy State Auditor
ACCOUNTANT’S COMMENTS
SECTION A - VIOLATION OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS

Management of each State agency is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls to ensure compliance with State Laws, Rules or Regulations. The procedures agreed to by the agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to determine whether any violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations occurred.

The condition described in this section has been identified as a violation of State Laws, Rules or Regulations.
REPORTING PACKAGES

Section 1.7 of the Comptroller General’s Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual states, “Each agency’s executive director and finance director are responsible for submitting to the Comptroller General’s Office reporting packages and/or financial statements that are: Accurate and prepared in accordance with instructions, complete, and timely.” Our testing of the Commission’s reporting packages disclosed the following exceptions:

The Commission submitted the Accounts Payable Reporting Package and Grants and the Contributions Revenue Reporting Package several weeks after the respective due dates.

In addition, the Commission inaccurately reported on the Master Reporting Checklist, form 2.0.1, that it did not record any lease payments under lease agreements during the fiscal year. Had that part of the Master Reporting Checklist been answered correctly, the Commission would have been instructed to complete and submit an Operating Leases Reporting Package.

Based on our review, the error on the Master Reporting Checklist and the late filing of the reporting package forms were due to misinterpretation of reporting package instructions.

We recommend that the Commission implement procedures to ensure that all reporting packages are completed in accordance with the Comptroller General’s Office Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual and form instructions.
SECTION B - STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

During the current engagement, we reviewed the status of corrective action taken on each of the findings reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on the Commission for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, and dated August 22, 2011. We applied no procedures to the Commission’s accounting records and internal controls for the year ended June 30, 2011. We determined that the Commission has taken adequate corrective action on each of the findings.
MANAGEMENT’S RESPONSE
Executive Director’s Response

Section A - Violation of State Laws, Rules or Regulation

Reporting Packages

Error on Master Reporting Checklist and late filing of the reporting package forms. The agency acknowledges that due to a lack of understanding of one of the questions on the recently revised Reporting Package, there was an error made when completing the Master Reporting Checklist. We also acknowledge that two of the Reporting Packages were submitted after the due date. This was due in part to questions concerning the report and the fact that these reports were due during the midst of the agency’s move to 2221 Devine Street. The Executive Director and Finance Director will ensure that future reports are completed timely and in accordance with the Comptroller General’s Office. The Finance Director will contact the appropriate staff person at the Comptroller General’s office for specific instructions regarding the completion of the Reporting Packages.
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