SOUTH CAROLINA LEGISLATIVE AUDIT COUNCIL
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT
ON APPLYING
AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

JUNE 30, 2000
March 6, 2001

Members of the General Assembly
and
Members of the Legislative Audit Council
State of South Carolina
Columbia, South Carolina

This report resulting from the application of certain agreed-upon procedures to certain internal controls and accounting records of the South Carolina Legislative Audit Council for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, was issued by Rogers and Laban, PA, Certified Public Accountants, under contract with the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please let us know.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas L. Wagner, Jr., CPA
State Auditor
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JUNE 30, 2000
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Mr. Thomas L. Wagner, Jr., CPA, 
State Auditor 
State of South Carolina 
Columbia, South Carolina 

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the management of the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor and the governing body and management of the South Carolina Legislative Audit Council (the Council), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Council for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000, in the areas addressed. This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. We noted that the Council had one recorded receipt for the fiscal year 2000. The Council's lone cash receipt was an interdepartmental transfer from the State Budget and Control Board -- Office of General Services for the proceeds from the sale of surplus equipment. We tested the recorded receipt to determine if it was properly described and classified in the accounting records; internal controls over the tested receipt was adequate; and if the receipt was recorded in the proper fiscal year. We tested the receipt postings to the subsidiary ledger and general ledger to determine if they were consistent and if the receipt was properly classified based on our review of the supporting documentation. We also compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in the State's accounting system (STARS) as reflected on various STARS reports to determine whether they were in agreement. We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if collection of this revenue was supported by law. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

2. We tested selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records; were bona fide disbursements of the Council; and, were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations and if internal controls over the tested disbursement transactions were adequate. We also tested selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded expenditures were recorded in the proper fiscal year. We compared current year expenditures with those of the prior year to determine the reasonableness of amounts paid and recorded by expenditure account. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
3. We tested selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the tested payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona-fide employees; payroll transactions, including employee payroll deductions, were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements; and internal controls over the tested payroll transactions were adequate. We tested selected payroll vouchers to determine if the vouchers were properly approved and if the gross payroll agreed to amounts recorded in the general ledger and in STARS. We also tested payroll transactions for selected new employees and those who terminated employment to determine if internal controls over these transactions were adequate. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were in agreement. We performed other procedures such as comparing current year payroll expenditures to those of the prior year and comparing the percentage change in personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were reasonable by expenditure account. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

4. We tested selected recorded appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, were adequately documented and explained, were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the internal controls over these transactions were adequate. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

5. We tested selected recorded entries and monthly totals in the subsidiary records of the Council to determine if the amounts were mathematically accurate; the numerical sequences of selected document series were complete; the selected monthly totals were accurately posted to the general ledger; and the internal controls over the tested transactions were adequate. The items selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

6. We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the Council for the year ended June 30, 2000, and tested selected reconciliations of balances in the Council's accounting records to those in the State's accounting system (STARS) as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if they were accurate and complete. For the selected reconciliations, we recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Council's general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the STARS reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the Council's accounting records and/or in STARS. The reconciliations selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

7. We tested the Council’s compliance with all applicable financial provisions of the South Carolina Code of Laws, Appropriation Act, and other laws, rules, and regulations for fiscal year 2000. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

8. We obtained copies of all closing packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2000, prepared by the Council and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We reviewed them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's GAAP Closing Procedures Manual requirements; if the amounts were reasonable; and if they agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified areas, accounts, or items. Further, we were not engaged to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express such opinions. Had we performed additional procedures or had we conducted an audit or review of the Council's financial statements or any part thereof, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor, members of the South Carolina General Assembly and the users specified in paragraph one and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Columbia, South Carolina
February 2, 2001