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INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT’S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

November 21, 2014

Members of The Senate of South Carolina
South Carolina General Assembly
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the Clerk of The Senate and The Senate of South Carolina (The Senate), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of The Senate for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, in the areas addressed. The Senate’s management is responsible for its financial records, internal controls and compliance with State laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. **Cash Receipts and Revenues**
   - We inspected selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations.
   - We inspected selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
   - We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law.
   - We compared current year recorded revenues at the subfund and account level from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that revenue was classified properly in the agency’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($300 – general fund, $5,300 – earmarked fund, and $700 – federal fund) and ± 10 percent.
   - We made inquiries of management pertaining to The Senate’s policies for accountability and security over other documents issued for money. We observed The Senate personnel performing their duties to determine if they understood and followed the described policies.
The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

2. **Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**
   - We inspected selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of The Senate, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
   - We inspected selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
   - We compared current year expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the agency’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($79,200 – general fund, $6,300 – earmarked fund, and $500 – federal fund) and ± 10 percent.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

3. **Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**
   - We inspected selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the selected payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; payroll transactions were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements and processed in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations.
   - We inspected selected payroll vouchers to determine if the vouchers were properly approved and if the gross payroll agreed to amounts recorded in the general ledger and in STARS.
   - We inspected payroll transactions for selected new employees and those who terminated employment to determine if the employees were added and/or removed from the payroll in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures, that the employee’s first and/or last pay check was properly calculated and that the employee’s leave payout was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.
   - We compared current year payroll expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the agency’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($79,200 – general fund, $6,300 – earmarked fund, and $500 – federal fund) and ± 10 percent.
   - We compared the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions; and computed the percentage distribution of recorded fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compared the computed distribution to the actual distribution of recorded payroll expenditures by fund source. We investigated changes of ± 10 percent to ensure that payroll expenditures were classified properly in the agency’s accounting records.
The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

4. **Journal Entries, Operating and Appropriation Transfers**
   - We inspected selected recorded journal entries and interagency appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, the purpose of the transactions was documented and explained, the transactions were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the transactions were processed in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

5. **General Ledger and Subsidiary Ledgers**
   - We inspected selected entries and monthly totals in the subsidiary records of The Senate to determine if the amounts were mathematically accurate; the numerical sequences of selected document series were complete; the selected monthly totals were accurately posted to the general ledger; and selected entries were processed in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations.

The transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

6. **Appropriation Act**
   - We inspected agency documents, observed processes, and/or made inquiries of agency personnel to determine The Senate’s compliance with Appropriation Act general and agency specific provisos.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

7. **Reporting Packages**
   - We obtained copies of all reporting packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, prepared by The Senate and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We inspected them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General’s Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual requirements and if the amounts reported in the reporting packages agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

Our finding as a result of these procedures is presented in Compensated Absences Reporting Package in the Accountant’s Comments section of this report.

8. **Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance**
   - We obtained a copy of the schedule of federal financial assistance for the year ended June 30, 2013, prepared by The Senate and submitted to the State Auditor. We inspected it to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor’s letter of instructions; if the amounts agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
9. **Status of Prior Findings**

- We inquired about the status of the finding reported in the Accountant’s Comments section of the State Auditor’s Report on The Senate resulting from our engagement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, to determine if The Senate had taken corrective action. We applied no procedures to The Senate’s accounting records and internal controls for the year ended June 30, 2012.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified elements, accounts, or items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Clerk of The Senate and Members of The Senate and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA
Deputy State Auditor
ACCOUNTANT’S COMMENTS
SECTION A - VIOLATION OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS

Management of each State agency is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls to ensure compliance with State Laws, Rules or Regulations. The procedures agreed to by the agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to determine whether any violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations occurred.

The condition described in this section has been identified as a violation of State Laws, Rules or Regulations.
Section 1.7 of the Comptroller General’s Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual states, “Each agency’s executive director and finance director are responsible for submitting to the Comptroller General’s Office reporting packages and/or financial statements that are accurate and prepared in accordance with instructions, complete and timely.”

We determined that the compensated absences liability reported on the Compensated Absences Summary Form was overstated by $348. The worksheet prepared by finance staff to calculate the compensated absences liability contained a formula error that resulted in the overstatement.

The finance director stated she did not detect the error during her review.

We recommend the finance staff implement procedures to ensure reporting package errors are detected and corrected prior to submission to the Comptroller General’s Office.
SECTION B - STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

During the current engagement, we reviewed the status of corrective action taken on the finding reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on The Senate for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, and dated July 31, 2012. We applied no procedures to The Senate's accounting records and internal controls for the year ended June 30, 2012. We determined that The Senate has taken adequate corrective action on the finding.
MANAGEMENT’S RESPONSE
January 27, 2015

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA  
Deputy State Auditor  
Office of the State Auditor  
1401 Main Street, Suite 1200  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

RE: State Draft Report A0113 (The Senate of South Carolina)

Dear Mr. Gilbert:

I am in receipt and authorize the release of the report resulting from the review of agreed-upon procedures to the accounting records of The Senate of South Carolina for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013.

In part, your report states that “We determined that the compensated absences liability reported on the Compensated Absences Summary Form was overstated by $348. The worksheet prepared by finance staff to calculate the compensated absences liability contained a formula error that resulted in the overstatement.”

The management of the Senate reviewed the facts and actions that led to this finding. The Senate’s internal controls and increased diligence will prevent issues such as this from reoccurring.

If you have additional questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Gossett

Jeffrey Gossett
4 copies of this document were published at an estimated printing cost of $1.40 each, and a total printing cost of $5.60. Section 1-11-425 of the South Carolina Code of Laws, as amended, requires this information on printing costs be added to the document.