

**SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS,
RECREATION AND TOURISM**

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

STATE AUDITOR'S REPORT

JUNE 30, 2015

CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
I. INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	1
II. ACCOUNTANT'S COMMENTS	
SECTION A - VIOLATION OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS	6
SUBSEQUENT EVENTS REPORTING PACKAGE	7
SECTION B - STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS	8



South Carolina
Office of the State Auditor

George L. Kennedy, III, CPA
State Auditor

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

September 26, 2016

The Honorable Nikki R. Haley, Governor
and
Mr. Duane Parrish, Director
South Carolina Department of Parks,
Recreation and Tourism
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the governing body and management of the South Carolina Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism (the Department) solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Department for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, in the areas addressed. The Department's management is responsible for its financial records, internal controls and compliance with State laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. **Cash Receipts and Revenues**

- We inspected twenty-five selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Department's policies and procedures and State regulations.
- We inspected twenty-five selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law.
- We compared current year recorded revenues at the subfund and account level from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that revenue was classified properly in the Department's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels (\$0 – general fund, \$275,100 – earmarked fund, \$13,100 – restricted fund, and \$29,200 – federal fund) and ± 10 percent.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

2. **Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**

- We inspected twenty-five selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Department's policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of the Department, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- We inspected thirty selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We compared current year expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the Department's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels (\$282,100 – general fund, \$248,100 – earmarked fund, \$10,700 – restricted fund, and \$23,700 – federal fund) and ± 10 percent.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

3. **Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**

- We inspected twenty-five selected employees' personnel records to determine if the selected employees' disbursements were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; they were bona fide employees; and payroll transactions were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements and processed in accordance with the Department's policies and procedures and State regulations.
- We inspected payroll transactions for fifteen selected new employees and fifteen individuals who terminated employment to determine if the employees were added and/or removed from the payroll in accordance with the Department's policies and procedures, that the employee's first and/or last pay check was properly calculated and that the employee's leave payout was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.
- We compared current year payroll expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the Department's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels (\$282,100 – general fund, \$248,100 – earmarked fund, \$10,700 – restricted fund, and \$23,700 – federal fund) and ± 10 percent.
- We compared the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions; and computed the percentage distribution of recorded fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compared the computed distribution to the actual distribution of recorded payroll expenditures by fund source. We investigated changes of ± 10 percent to ensure that payroll expenditures were classified properly in the Department's accounting records.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

4. **Journal Entries and Operating/Appropriation Transfers**

- We inspected ten selected recorded journal entries, and ten operating/appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, the purpose of the transactions was documented and explained, the transactions were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the transactions were processed in accordance with the Department's policies and procedures and State regulations.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

5. **Composite Reservoir Accounts**

Reconciliations

- We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the Department for the year ended June 30, 2015, and inspected two selected reconciliations of balances for each of the three accounts in the Department's accounting records to those reflected on the State Treasurer's Office monthly reports to determine if accounts reconciled. For the selected reconciliations, we determined if they were timely performed and properly documented in accordance with State regulations, recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Department's general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the State Treasurer's Office monthly reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the Department's accounting records.

Cash Receipts and Revenues

- We inspected eighteen selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Department's policies and procedures and State regulations.
- We inspected eighteen selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law. We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the Department.

Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures

- We inspected twenty-three selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Department's policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of the Department, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- We inspected twenty-three selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.

The reconciliations, receipts, and disbursements selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

6. **Appropriation Act**

- We inspected Department documents, observed processes, and/or made inquiries of Department personnel to determine the Department's compliance with Appropriation Act general provisos as listed in the Appropriation Act work program, and Department specific provisos, if applicable.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

7. **Reporting Packages**

- We obtained copies of all reporting packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, prepared by the Department and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We inspected them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual requirements and if the amounts reported in the reporting packages agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.
- We obtained detail inventory reports at fiscal year-end for items held for sale and performed the following procedures: Agreed the inventory cost to the amount recorded in the general ledger; obtained physical inventory count documentation and traced the quantity per the count to the quantity per the final detail inventory report; and agreed cost per the final inventory report to underlying supporting documentation.

Our finding as a result of these procedures is presented in Subsequent Events Reporting Package in the Accountant's Comments section of this report.

8. **Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance**

- We obtained a copy of the schedule of federal financial assistance for the year ended June 30, 2015, prepared by the Department and submitted to the State Auditor. We inspected it to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor's letter of instructions; if the amounts agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

9. **Status of Prior Findings**

- We inquired about the status of the findings reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on the Department resulting from our engagement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, to determine if the Department had taken corrective action.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

The Honorable Nikki R. Haley, Governor
and
Mr. Duane Parrish, Director
South Carolina Department of Parks,
Recreation and Tourism
September 26, 2016

The concept of materiality does not apply to findings to be reported in an agreed-upon procedures engagement. Therefore, all findings from the application of the agreed-upon procedures must be reported unless the definition of materiality is agreed to by the specified parties. Management of the Department has agreed that the following deficiencies will not be included in the State Auditor's Report on Applying Agreed-Up Upon Procedures:

- Clerical errors of less than \$100 related to processing cash receipts and cash disbursements transactions unless the errors occur in ten percent or more of the transaction class tested.
- Clerical errors of less than \$100 related to reporting packages.
- Errors in applying account coding definitions to accounting transactions unless it is determined that ten percent or more of the accounting transactions tested were found to be in error.
- Reporting packages which are submitted less than three business days after the due date unless it is determined that more than two of the reporting packages were submitted late.
- Submission of the Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance less than three business days late.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified elements, accounts, or items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor and of the governing body and management of the Department and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



George L. Kennedy, III, CPA
State Auditor

ACCOUNTANT'S COMMENTS

SECTION A - VIOLATION OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS

Management of each State agency is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls to ensure compliance with State Laws, Rules or Regulations. The procedures agreed to by the agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to determine whether any violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations occurred.

The condition described in this section has been identified as a violation of State Laws, Rules or Regulations.

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS REPORTING PACKAGE

Condition:

During our review of the Subsequent Events Questionnaire we noted that the Department answered "Yes" to question #12: "Has agency personnel continued the evaluation of the liabilities subsequent to the submission of the forms in Section 3.12?" However, upon review of the Yearend Rptg-Prior Year Payables with Vendor report, we noted that the agency did not continue the evaluation of the liabilities subsequent to submission of Section 3.12. The Department should have rerun the Yearend Rptg-Prior Year Payables with Vendor report during submission of the Subsequent Events Questionnaire. This would have enabled the Department to properly identify additional accounts payable to be reported to the Comptroller General's Office.

Cause:

Agency Oversight / Human Error.

Effect:

The Department reported incorrect information to the Comptroller General's Office, which understated the Department's accounts payable by \$8,417.

Criteria:

Section 1.7 of the Comptroller General's Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual states, "Each agency's executive director and finance director are responsible for submitting to the Comptroller General's Office reporting packages and/or financial statements that are: accurate, and prepared in accordance with instructions, complete, and timely."

Recommendation:

We recommend the Department implement policies and procedures to ensure that all Reporting Packages are prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual requirements, the amounts in the reporting packages agree with the accounting records, and all payable information is properly submitted to the Comptroller General's Office.

Management's Response:

We agree with the findings and will work to ensure corrective action is taken to eliminate these findings in the future.

SECTION B - STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

During the current engagement, we reviewed the status of corrective action taken on each of the findings reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on the findings for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, and dated September 22, 2015. We determined that the Department has taken adequate corrective action on each of the findings.

3 copies of this document were published at an estimated printing cost of \$1.35 each, and a total printing cost of \$4.05. Section 1-11-425 of the South Carolina Code of Laws, as amended requires this information on printing costs be added to the document.