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INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT’S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

September 21, 2015

The Honorable Nikki R. Haley, Governor
and
Members of the Board of Trustees
Will Lou Gray Opportunity School
West Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the management of Wil Lou Gray Opportunity School (the School), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the School for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, in the areas addressed. The School’s management is responsible for its financial records, internal controls and compliance with State laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. **Cash Receipts and Revenues**
   - We inspected eleven selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations.
   - We inspected five selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
   - We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law.
   - We compared current year recorded revenues at the subfund and account level from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that revenue was classified properly in the agency’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($100 – general fund, $13,500 – earmarked fund, $9,800 – restricted fund, and $3,000 – federal fund) and ± 10 percent.
The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

2. Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures
   • We inspected twenty-five selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of the School, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
   • We inspected eighteen selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
   • We compared current year expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the agency’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($48,500 – general fund, $13,000 – earmarked fund, $9,400 – restricted fund, and $2,000 – federal fund) and ±10 percent.

   The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

3. Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures
   • We inspected twenty-five selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the selected payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; and payroll transactions were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements and processed in accordance with the School’s policies and procedures and State regulations.
   • We inspected payroll transactions for five selected new employees and five individuals who terminated employment to determine if the employees were added and/or removed from the payroll in accordance with the School’s policies and procedures, that the employee’s first and/or last pay check was properly calculated and that the employee’s leave payout was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.
   • We compared current year payroll expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the agency’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($48,500 – general fund, $13,000 – earmarked fund, $9,400 – restricted fund, and $2,000 – federal fund) and ±10 percent.
   • We compared the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions; and computed the percentage distribution of recorded fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compared the computed distribution to the actual distribution of recorded payroll expenditures by fund source. We investigated changes of ±10 percent to ensure that payroll expenditures were classified properly in the School’s accounting records.
The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

4. **Journal Entries and Interagency Appropriation Transfers**
   - We inspected five selected recorded journal entries and all interagency appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, the purpose of the transactions was documented and explained, the transactions were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the transactions were processed in accordance with the School’s policies and procedures and State regulations.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

5. **Composite Reservoir Accounts**
   - **Reconciliations**
     - We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the School for the year ended June 30, 2014, and inspected two selected reconciliations of balances in the School’s accounting records to those reflected on the State Treasurer’s Office monthly reports to determine if accounts reconciled. For the selected reconciliations, we determined if they were timely performed and properly documented in accordance with State regulations, recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the School’s general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the State Treasurer’s Office monthly reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the School’s accounting records.

**Cash Receipts and Revenues**
   - We inspected five selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the School’s policies and procedures and State regulations.
   - We inspected the same selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
   - We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law. We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the School.

**Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**
   - We inspected nineteen selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the agency’s policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of the School, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
   - We inspected the same selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
The reconciliations and transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

6. **Appropriation Act**
   - We inspected agency documents, observed processes, and/or made inquiries of agency personnel to determine the School’s compliance with Appropriation Act general provisos as listed in the Appropriation Act work program, and agency specific provisos, if applicable.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

7. **Reporting Packages**
   - We obtained copies of all reporting packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, prepared by the School and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We inspected them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual requirements and if the amounts reported in the reporting packages agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

   Our findings as a result of these procedures are presented in Reporting Packages in the Accountant’s Comments section of this report.

8. **Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance**
   - We obtained a copy of the schedule of federal financial assistance for the year ended June 30, 2014, prepared by the School and submitted to the State Auditor. We inspected it to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor's letter of instructions; if the amounts agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

9. **Status of Prior Findings**
   - We inquired about the status of the findings reported in the Accountant’s Comments section of the State Auditor’s Report on the School resulting from our engagement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, to determine if the School had taken corrective action.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
The Honorable Nikki R. Haley, Governor
and
Members of the Board of Trustees
Will Lou Gray Opportunity School
September 21, 2015

The concept of materiality does not apply to findings to be reported in an agreed-upon procedures engagement. Therefore, all findings from the application of the agreed-upon procedures must be reported unless the definition of materiality is agreed to by the specified parties. Management of the School has agreed that the following deficiencies will not be included in the State Auditor's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures:

- Clerical errors of less than $100 related to processing cash receipts and cash disbursements (payroll and non-payroll) transactions (unless there is an indication that the error is systematic).
- Clerical errors of less than $100 related to reporting packages (unless there is an indication that the error is systematic).
- Errors in applying account coding definitions to accounting transactions unless it is determined that 10 percent or more of the accounting transactions tested were found to be in error.
- Reporting Packages which are submitted less than 3 business days after the due date unless it is determined that 20 percent or more of the reporting packages were submitted late.
- Submission of the Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance less than 3 business days late.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified elements, accounts, or items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor and of the governing body and management of the Wil Lou Gray Opportunity School and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

George L. Kennedy, III, CPA
State Auditor
ACCOUNTANT’S COMMENTS
SECTION A - VIOLATIONS OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS

Management of each State agency is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls to ensure compliance with State Laws, Rules or Regulations. The procedures agreed to by the agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to determine whether any violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations occurred.

The conditions described in this section have been identified as violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations.
REPORTING PACKAGES

Introduction

The Office of the Comptroller General (CG) obtains certain generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) data for the State’s financial statements from agency prepared reporting packages. We determined that the School submitted to the CG certain fiscal year 2014 reporting packages that were incorrectly prepared or misstated. To accurately report the School’s and the State’s assets, liabilities, and current year operations, the GAAP reporting packages must be complete and accurate. Furthermore, Reference 1.7 of the Comptroller General’s Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual states that “The accuracy of reporting package data is extremely important. Large errors jeopardize the accuracy of the State’s financial statements. The existence of even “small” errors casts doubt on the ability of the State’s internal controls to detect and correct errors. We all must work together to implement procedures that keep reporting package errors to an absolute minimum. Adequate internal controls include safeguards to ensure that your agency detects and corrects its own reporting package errors. Whenever the Comptroller General's Office or auditors detect errors, it means that your agency's internal controls have failed and should be improved.” Reference 1.7 further states that a supervisory employee should perform a review that includes tracing all amounts from the appropriate agency accounting records or other original sources to the working papers and finally to the reporting package itself. Preparation and maintenance of working papers is a primary responsibility of each agency. The following describes the errors noted on certain fiscal year 2014 reporting packages:
Grants and Contribution Revenue Reporting Package

During our testing of the Grants and Contribution Revenue Reporting Package, we noted that the School reported a $240,000 Non-Current Contribution Receivable on Form 3.03.3 Contributions Receivables and Deferred Revenue. This amount represented the fiscal year 2014 budget authorization for the School’s national lunch program federal grant and not a contribution receivable of the School.

School personnel stated this amount was included because personnel thought the budget authorization was supposed to be included on the reporting package.

Prepaid Expenses Reporting Package

During our testing of the Prepaid Expenses Reporting Package, we noted the School reported one month of expenses applicable to fiscal year 2014 in the Total Prepaid and Current Prepaid columns instead of in the Expensed column. The amounts expensed for Fund 10010000 and 30370000 should have been reported as $675 and $2,708, respectively.

School personnel stated the misstatement was due to human error.

Other Payroll Liabilities Reporting Package

During our testing of the Other Payroll Liabilities Reporting Package, we noted the leave liability for two employees reported on the compensated absences report was incorrect. Therefore, on Form 3.17.1 Compensated Absences Summary, the School should have answered ‘No’ to Part I question 1 for Funds 10010000, 49730000, and 50550000 and then reported the variances in Part 2. We determined the variance for these funds to be $2,457, $1,819 and $26, respectively.
School personnel stated the hourly rate for an employee who terminated employment in the beginning of fiscal year 2015 was incorrectly reported as $0 on the Compensated Absences Report. In addition, personnel stated the other two employees were coded as a “10 month employee” and were not considered active employees in the summer; therefore their hourly rates were also incorrectly reported as $0 on the Compensated Absences Report.

Timely Submission

During our review we noted the following reporting packages were submitted one to two business days late: Cash and Investments, Refunds Receivable, Prepaid Expenses, Operating Leases, Other Payroll Liabilities, and Litigation. Due dates for reporting packages are established by the Comptroller General’s Office. School personnel stated the reporting packages were submitted late due to the preparer or reviewer being out of the office the date the reporting packages were due. The reports were submitted when the employee returned to the office.

Recommendation

We recommend the School strengthen its procedures to ensure that reporting packages are accurately completed, reviewed and submitted timely in accordance with the Comptroller General’s Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual. School personnel responsible for completing and reviewing the reporting packages should review instructions for completing the packages and compare the supporting working papers prior to submission to eliminate errors.
SECTION B - STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

During the current engagement, we reviewed the status of corrective action taken on each of the findings reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on the School for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, and dated June 4, 2014. We determined that the School has taken adequate corrective action on each of the findings.
MANAGEMENT’S RESPONSE
October 27, 2015

George L. Kennedy, III, CPA
State Auditor
Office of the State Auditor
1401 Main Street, Suite 1200
Columbia, SC 29201

Dear Mr. Kennedy:

We have reviewed the report. Realizing that there were extenuating circumstances during the review period, we concur with the findings. We have already taken steps to correct the reporting issues with the closing packages and will continue to work on them in the future to ensure accuracy.

If you have any questions or concerns, feel free to contact me at 803-896-6480 or smithp@wlgos.sc.gov.

Sincerely,

Pat G. Smith
Director
4 copies of this document were published at an estimated printing cost of $1.49 each, and a total printing cost of $5.96. Section 1-11-425 of the South Carolina Code of Laws, as amended requires this information on printing costs be added to the document.