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We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by management of the South Carolina Office of the Secretary of State (the Office), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Office for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, in the areas addressed. The Office’s management is responsible for its financial records, internal controls and compliance with State laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. **Cash Receipts and Revenues**
   - We inspected twenty-five selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Office’s policies and procedures and State regulations.
   - We inspected twenty-five selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
   - We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law.
   - We compared current year recorded revenues at the subfund and account level from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general and earmarked funds to ensure that revenue was classified properly in the Office’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($43,100 – general fund and $16,500 – earmarked fund) and ± 10 percent.
   - We made inquiries of management pertaining to the Office’s policies for accountability and security over permits, licenses, and other documents issued for money. We observed Office personnel performing their duties to determine if they understood and followed the described policies.
The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

2. **Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**
   - We inspected twenty-five selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Office’s policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of the Office, and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations; if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
   - We inspected eight selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
   - We compared current year expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general and earmarked funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the Office’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($14,300 – general fund and $16,600 – earmarked fund) and ±10 percent.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

3. **Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**
   - We inspected six selected employees’ personnel records to determine if the selected employees’ disbursements were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; they were bona fide employees; and payroll transactions were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements and processed in accordance with the Office’s policies and procedures and State regulations.
   - We inspected payroll transactions for five selected new employees and five individuals who terminated employment to determine if the employees were added and/or removed from the payroll in accordance with the Office’s policies and procedures, that the employee’s first and/or last pay check was properly calculated and that the employee’s leave payout was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.
   - We compared current year payroll expenditures at the subfund and account level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general and earmarked funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the Office’s accounting records. The scope was based on agreed upon materiality levels ($14,300 – general fund and $16,600 – earmarked fund) and ±10 percent.
   - We compared the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions; and computed the percentage distribution of recorded fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compared the computed distribution to the actual distribution of recorded payroll expenditures by fund source. We investigated changes of ±10 percent to ensure that payroll expenditures were classified properly in the Office’s accounting records.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. Our finding as a result of these procedures is presented in Termination Pay in the Accountant’s Comments section of this report.
4. **Journal Entries and Operating Transfers**
   - We inspected five selected recorded journal entries and five operating transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, the purpose of the transactions was documented and explained, the transactions were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the transactions were processed in accordance with the Office’s policies and procedures and State regulations.

   The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

5. **Composite Reservoir Accounts**
   - **Reconciliations**
     - We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the Office for the year ended June 30, 2015, and inspected all selected reconciliations of balances in the Office’s accounting records to those reflected on the State Treasurer’s Office monthly reports to determine if accounts reconciled. We determined if they were timely performed and properly documented in accordance with State regulations, recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Office’s general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the State Treasurer’s Office monthly reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the Office’s accounting records.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

6. **Appropriation Act**
   - We inspected Office documents, observed processes, and/or made inquiries of Office personnel to determine the Office’s compliance with Appropriation Act general provisos as listed in the Appropriation Act work program, and agency specific provisos, if applicable.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

7. **Reporting Packages**
   - We obtained copies of all reporting packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, prepared by the Office and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We inspected them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's Reporting Policies and Procedures Manual requirements and if the amounts reported in the reporting packages agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

8. **Status of Prior Finding**
   - We inquired about the status of the finding reported in the Accountant’s Comments section of the State Auditor’s Report on the Office resulting from our engagement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, to determine if the Office had taken corrective action.

   We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
The concept of materiality does not apply to findings to be reported in an agreed-upon procedures engagement. Therefore, all findings from the application of the agreed-upon procedures must be reported unless the definition of materiality is agreed to by the specified parties. Management of the Office has agreed that the following deficiencies will not be included in the State Auditor’s Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures:

- Clerical errors of less than $100 related to processing cash receipts and cash disbursements transactions unless the errors occur in ten percent or more of the transaction class tested.
- Clerical errors of less than $100 related to reporting packages.
- Errors in applying account coding definitions to accounting transactions unless it is determined that ten percent or more of the accounting transactions tested were found to be in error.
- Reporting packages which are submitted less than three business days after the due date unless it is determined that more than two of the reporting packages were submitted late.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified elements, accounts, or items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management of the South Carolina Office of the Secretary of State and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

George L. Kennedy, III, CPA
State Auditor
ACCOUNTANT’S COMMENTS
SECTION A - OTHER WEAKNESS

Management of each State agency is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls to ensure compliance with State Laws, Rules or Regulations. The procedures agreed to by the agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to determine whether any violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations occurred.

The condition described in this section has been identified while performing the agreed-upon procedures but it is not considered a violation of State Laws, Rules or Regulations.
**TERMINATION PAY**

**Condition:**

During our inspection of termination pay, we noted two employees were underpaid at their time of termination. This was due to the employees working a schedule of 1,950 hours per year, but being paid an annual leave payout based on a 2,080 hour per year schedule. Due to the error, one employee was underpaid $57 and the other employee was underpaid $177.

**Cause:**

Agency oversight in the termination pay review process.

**Effect:**

Two terminated employees were not paid their entire compensation due at their time of termination therefore understating the Office’s salary expenses during FY2015 by $234.

**Criteria:**

Sound business practice requires management to establish and maintain effective internal controls to ensure that all salary payments are accurate and supported by source documents.

**Recommendation:**

We recommend the Office strengthen its procedures to ensure compliance with its policies and procedures as well as State Human Resources policies and procedures.

**Management’s Response:**

The Secretary of State’s Office has adjusted the procedures for termination pay. In order to ensure an accurate accounting, the office has added a manual step using a Leave Payout Calculation Sheet. This sheet is used to compare the calculations to the amount that was system generated in SCIES. Therefore, if any discrepancies are noted, action may be taken immediately. The two employees affected were immediately paid upon discovery of the discrepancy.
SECTION B - STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

During the current engagement, we reviewed the status of corrective action taken on the finding reported in the Accountant's Comments section of the State Auditor's Report on The Office for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, and dated January 21, 2016. We determined that the Office has taken adequate corrective action on the finding.