

**SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON
PROSECUTION COORDINATION**

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

STATE AUDITOR'S REPORT

JUNE 30, 1998

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

March 5, 1999

The Honorable James H. Hodges, Governor
and
Members of the Commission
South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the governing body and management of the South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination, solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Commission for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1998, in the areas addressed. This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. We tested selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records, were bona fide disbursements of the Commission, were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations, and if internal controls of the tested disbursement transactions were adequate. We also tested selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to various Statewide Accounting and Reporting System (STARS) reports to determine if expenditures were properly recorded in STARS. We compared current year expenditures with those of the prior year. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
2. We tested selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the tested payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; payroll transactions, including employee payroll deductions, were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements; and internal controls of the tested payroll transactions were adequate. We tested selected payroll vouchers to determine that the vouchers were properly approved and that the gross payroll agreed to amounts recorded in the general ledger and in STARS.

The Honorable James H. Hodges, Governor
and
Members of the Commission
South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination
March 5, 1999

- We also tested selected payroll additions and payroll terminations to determine if internal controls over these transactions were adequate. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to various STARS reports to determine if payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were properly recorded in STARS. We performed other procedures, such as testing selected recorded payroll disbursements of hourly employees, comparing current year payroll expenditures with those of the prior year, comparing the percentage change in personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions, and computing the percentage distribution of fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and comparing the distribution to the distribution of payroll expenditures by fund source to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were reasonable and properly described and classified in the accounting records. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
3. We tested selected recorded journal entries and all operating and appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; the internal controls over these transactions were adequate; and they agreed with the supporting documentation, were adequately documented and explained, were properly approved, and were mathematically correct. The Journal entries selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
 4. We tested selected entries and monthly totals in the subsidiary records of the Commission to determine if the amounts were mathematically accurate; the numerical sequences of selected document series were complete; the selected monthly totals were accurately posted to the general ledger; and the internal controls over the tested transactions were adequate. The transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
 5. We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the Commission for the year ended June 30, 1998, and tested selected reconciliations of balances in the Commission's accounting records to those in the State's accounting system (STARS) as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if they were accurate and complete. For the selected reconciliations, we recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Commission's general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the STARS reports, determined that reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined that necessary adjusting entries were made in the Commission's accounting records and/or STARS. The reconciliations selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
 6. We tested the Commission's compliance with all applicable financial provisions of the South Carolina Code of Laws, Appropriation Act, and other laws, rules, and regulations for fiscal year 1998. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

The Honorable James H. Hodges, Governor
and
Members of the Commission
South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination
March 5, 1999

7. We obtained copies of all closing packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 1998, prepared by the Commission and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We reviewed them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's GAAP Closing Procedures Manual requirements; if the amounts were reasonable; and if they agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
8. We obtained a copy of the schedule of federal financial assistance for the year ended June 30, 1998, prepared by the Commission and submitted to the State Auditor. We reviewed it to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor's letter of instructions; if the amounts were reasonable; and if they agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified areas, accounts, or items. Further, we were not engaged to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express such opinions. Had we performed additional procedures or had we conducted an audit or review of the Commission's financial statements or any part thereof, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor and Members of the Commission and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Thomas L. Wagner, Jr., CPA
State Auditor