State Auditor's Report April 30, 2006

Table of Contents

		<u>PAGE</u>
STA	TE AUDITOR TRANSMITTAL LETTER	
I.	INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	1-3
II.	ACCOUNTANT'S COMMENTS	
	MATERIAL WEAKNESSES AND/OR VIOLATIONS OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS	4
	TIMELY ACCURATE REPORTING TO THE STATE TREASURER	5
APP	ENDIX CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN	

State of South Carolina



Office of the State Auditor

1401 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1200 COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

RICHARD H. GILBERT, JR., CPA DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

(803) 253-4160 FAX (803) 343-0723

October 6, 2006

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor State of South Carolina Columbia, South Carolina

The Honorable Rilla Thomas, Clerk of Court City of Hartsville Hartsville, South Carolina

This report resulting from the application of certain agreed-upon procedures to certain accounting records of the City of Hartsville Municipal Court for the period May 1, 2005 through April 30, 2006, was issued by Cline Brandt Kochenower & Co., P.A., Certified Public Accountants, under contract with the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please let us know.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA

Deputy State Auditor

RHGjr/trb

MEMBERS
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPAS
PRIVATE COMPANIES PRACTICE SECTION
SOUTH CAROLINA ASSOCIATION OF CPAS
NORTH CAROLINA ASSOCIATION OF CPAS

CLINE BRANDT KOCHENOWER & Co., P.A.

Certified Public Accountants

Established 1950

ALBERT B. CLINE, CPA RAYMOND H. BRANDT, CPA

BEN D. KOCHENOWER, CPA, CFE, CVA STEVEN L. BLAKE, CPA, CFE TIMOTHY S. BLAKE, CPA WAYDE DAWSON, CPA, CMA

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA Deputy State Auditor Office of the State Auditor Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below which were agreed to by the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor solely to assist these users in evaluating the performance of the City of Hartsville Municipal Court System and to assist the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor in complying with the 2005 - 2006 General Appropriations Act (H. 3716) Section 72.86. Rilla Thomas, Clerk of Court for the City of Hartsville is responsible for compliance with the requirements for the Municipal Court reporting and the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor is responsible for compliance with the requirements of the 2005 - 2006 General Appropriations Act (H. 3716) Section 72.86. This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and associated findings are as follows:

1. TIMELY REPORTING BY THE CLERK OF COURT

- We researched South Carolina Code of Laws Section 14-25-85 to determine the definition of timely reporting with respect to the Clerk of Court's responsibility for reporting fines, fees and assessments to the Municipal Treasurer.
- We inquired of the South Carolina Judicial Department to determine their requirements for both the manner in which partial pay fines and fees are to be allocated and the timing of the report and remittance submissions by the Clerk and the Treasurer.
- We inquired of the Clerk of Court and Municipal Treasurer to gain an understanding of their policy for ensuring timely reporting and to determine how the treasurer specifically documents timeliness.
- We inspected documentation, including the Clerk of Court Remittance Forms or equivalents for the months of May 1, 2005 through April 30, 2006 to determine if the Clerk of Court submitted the reports to the municipal treasurer in accordance with the law.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA Deputy State Auditor Office of the State Auditor Page Two

2. TIMELY ACCURATE RECORDING AND REPORTING BY THE CITY

- We traced each month's reporting by the Clerk of Court to the Municipal Treasurer's Office and to the City's general ledger accounts for the assessments (Sections 14-1-208(A), (B) and (D)) and victim's assistance surcharge (Section 14-1-211) for the period May 1, 2005 to April 30, 2006.
- We compared the amounts reported on the Clerk of Court Remittance Forms or equivalents to the Clerk of Court's software system-generated report summaries for three judgmentally determined test months. We tested the system-generated reports for compliance with various laws including Section 35.11 of the General Appropriations Act for the fiscal year 2005 2006 and with South Carolina Judicial Department training instructions and interpretations.
- We judgmentally selected and compared individual fine and assessment amounts recorded in the Clerk of Court's software system-generated detail reports to the Judicial Department guidelines' range for the offense code to see if the fine and assessment were within the minimum and maximum range.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

3. PROPER VICTIM'S ASSISTANCE FUNDS ACCOUNTING

- We inquired as to the format determined by City council and local policy for record keeping as it relates to fines and assessments in accordance with Section 14-1-208(E)(4).
- We compared the fiscal year-ended June 30, 2005 audited Victims' Rights Fund fund balance with all adjustments to the fund balance shown in the Schedule of Fines, Assessments and Surcharges on page 50 of the audited financial statement and to the beginning fund balance as adjusted in that fund for fiscal year 2005.
- We judgmentally selected a sample of Victim's Rights Fund reimbursable expenditures and verified that these expenditures were in compliance with Section 14-1-208(E) and Section 14-1-211(B).

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA Deputy State Auditor Office of the State Auditor Page Three

4. TIMELY ACCURATE REPORTING TO THE STATE TREASURER


- We vouched the amounts reported in the South Carolina State Treasurer's Revenue Remittance Forms to Clerk of Court Remittance Forms or equivalents for the period May 1, 2005 to April 30, 2006.
- We scanned the South Carolina State Treasurer's Revenue Remittance Forms for timely filing in accordance with Section 14-1-208(B).
- We traced amounts recorded in the City's financial statement Schedule of Fines, Assessments and Surcharges on page 50 of the year ended June 30, 2005 report related to fines and assessments revenues reporting in accordance with Section 14-1-208(E) to supporting schedules used in the audit to comply with Section 14-1-208(E).
- We traced and agreed amounts in the supporting schedules to the Clerk of Court Remittance Forms or South Carolina State Treasurer's Revenue Remittance Forms.

Our finding is reported under "TIMELY ACCURATE REPORTING TO THE STATE TREASURER" in the Accountants' Comments section of this report.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an audit the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance with the collection and distribution of court generated revenue at any level of court for the twelve months ended April 30, 2006 and, furthermore, we were not engaged to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal controls over compliance with the laws, rules and regulations described in paragraph one and the procedures of this report. Had we performed additional procedures other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Office of the State Auditor, Chairmen of the House Ways & Means Committee, Senate Finance Committee, House Judiciary Committee, Senate Judiciary Committee, State Treasurer, Office of Victim Assistance, Chief Justice and the Governor and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

June 28, 2006



State Auditor's Report April 30, 2006

MATERIAL WEAKNESSES AND/OR VIOLATIONS OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS

The procedures agreed to by the agency require that we plan and perform the engagement to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the requirements of State Laws, Rules, or Regulations occurred and whether internal accounting controls over certain transactions were adequate. Management of the entity is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the specific internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Therefore, the presence of a material weakness or violation will preclude management from asserting that the entity has effective internal controls.

The conditions described in this section have been identified as material weaknesses or violations of State Laws, Rules, or Regulations.

State Auditor's Report, Continued April 30, 2006

TIMELY ACCURATE REPORTING TO THE STATE TREASURER

TIMELY REPORTING BY THE CITY

CONDITION: Four of the twelve State Treasurer's Remittance Reports for the period of May 1, 2005 through April 30, 2006 were not timely filed. The delays ranged from one to five days after the proscribed deadline.

CRITERIA: South Carolina Code of Laws Section 14-1-208(B) requires the City Treasurer to remit the balance of the assessment money to the State Treasurer by the fifteenth day of the month.

CAUSE: The Clerk is not preparing the form timely.

EFFECT: The City did not comply with South Carolina Code of Laws Section 14-1-208(B) reporting requirements.

RECOMMENDATION OR CORRECTIVE ACTION

The City should develop and implement a policy whereby they can comply with State law.

State Auditor's Report, Continued April 30, 2006

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

Management has elected not to respond.