

South Carolina Budget and Control Board

Columbia, South Carolina

Independent Accountants' Report on

Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

for the year ended June 30, 2010

State of South Carolina



Office of the State Auditor

1401 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1200
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

RICHARD H. GILBERT, JR., CPA
DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

(803) 253-4160
FAX (803) 343-0723

January 26, 2011

The Honorable Nikki Haley, Governor
and
Members of the South Carolina State Budget and Control Board
Columbia, South Carolina

This report resulting from the application of certain agreed-upon procedures to certain internal controls and accounting records of the Budget and Control Board for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, was issued by Scott and Company L.L.P., Certified Public Accountants, under contract with the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please let us know.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Richard H. Gilbert Jr.", written in a cursive style.

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA
Deputy State Auditor

RHGjr/sag

Contents

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1-4
Accountants' Comments	
Section A – Status of Prior Year Finding	5

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Mr. Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., Deputy State Auditor
State of South Carolina
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the Members of the Board and management of the South Carolina Budget and Control Board (the "Board") and the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor (the "State Auditor"), solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Board for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, in the areas addressed. The Board's management is responsible for its financial records, internal controls and compliance with State laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified parties in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. **Cash Receipts and Revenues**

- We inspected 25 recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Board's policies and procedures and State regulations.
- We inspected 10 recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittances were supported by law.
- We compared current year recorded revenues at the subfund and object code level from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that revenue was classified properly in the Board's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed-upon materiality levels (\$690,000 – earmarked fund, \$230,000 – restricted fund, and \$100,000 – federal fund) and +/- 10 percent.

The individual transactions were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

2. **Non-Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**

- We inspected 25 recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were described and classified in the accounting records in accordance with the Board's policies and procedures and State regulations, were bona fide disbursements of the Board, were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations, and if the acquired goods and/or services were procured in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- We inspected 10 recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year.
- We compared current year expenditures at the subfund and major object code level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the Board's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed-upon materiality levels (\$150,000 – general fund, \$690,000 – earmarked fund, \$230,000 – restricted fund, and \$100,000 – federal fund) and +/- 10 percent.

The individual transactions were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

3. **Payroll Disbursements and Expenditures**

- We inspected 25 recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the selected payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records, persons on the payroll were bona fide employees, and that payroll transactions, including employee payroll deductions, were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements and processed in accordance with the Board's policies and procedures and State regulations.
- We inspected 2 selected payroll vouchers to determine if the vouchers were properly approved and if the gross payroll agreed to amounts recorded in the general ledger.

- We inspected payroll transactions for 5 new employees and 5 individuals who terminated employment to determine if the employees were added and/or removed from the payroll in accordance with the Board's policies and procedures, that the employee's first and/or last pay check was properly calculated, and that the employee's leave payout was properly calculated in accordance with applicable State law.
- We compared current year payroll expenditures at the subfund and major object code level to those of the prior year. We investigated changes in the general, earmarked, restricted and federal funds to ensure that expenditures were classified properly in the Board's accounting records. The scope was based on agreed-upon materiality levels (\$150,000 – general fund, \$690,000 – earmarked fund, \$230,000 – restricted fund, and \$100,000 – federal fund) and +/- 10 percent.
- We compared the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions; and computed the percentage distribution of recorded fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and compared the computed distribution to the actual distribution of recorded payroll expenditures by fund source. We investigated changes of +/- 5% percent to ensure that payroll expenditures were classified properly in the Board's accounting records.

The individual transactions were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

4. **Journal Entries, Operating Transfers and Appropriation Transfers**

- We inspected 15 recorded journal entries, 5 operating transfers, and 5 appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records, that they agreed with the supporting documentation, the purpose of the transactions was documented and explained, the transactions were properly approved when necessary, the transactions were mathematically correct, and the transactions were processed in accordance with the Board's policies and procedures and State regulations.

The individual transactions selected were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

5. **Appropriation Act**

- We inspected Board documents, observed processes, and/or made inquiries of Board personnel to determine the Board's compliance with Appropriation Act general and Board specific provisos.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

6. **Closing Packages**

- We obtained copies of closing packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, prepared by the Board and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We inspected the closing packages to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's GAAP Closing Procedures Manual requirements and if the amounts reported in the closing packages agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

7. **Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance**

- We obtained a copy of the Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance for the year ended June 30, 2010, prepared by the Board and submitted to the State Auditor. We inspected the Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor's letter of instructions and if the amounts agreed with the supporting work papers and accounting records.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

8. **Status of Prior Finding**

- We inquired about the status of the finding reported in the Accountants' Comments section of the Independent Accountants' Report on the Board resulting from our engagement for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, to determine if the Board had taken corrective action.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified elements, accounts, or items. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor, the Members of the Board, management, and the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Scott and Company LLP

Columbia, South Carolina
January 26, 2011

SECTION A – STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

During the current engagement, we reviewed the status of corrective action taken on the finding reported in the Accountants' Comments section of the Independent Accountants' Report on the Board for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, and dated June 30, 2010. The following is the status of the prior year finding:

Prior Year Finding:

Status:

Improper Completion of Reconciliations

Completion of reconciliations is not required for fiscal year 2010 due to the implementation of the SCEIS accounting system.