

**CITY OF DARLINGTON MUNICIPAL COURT
DARLINGTON, SOUTH CAROLINA**

**State Auditor's Report on Applying
Agreed-Upon Procedures
March 31, 2007**

**CITY OF DARLINGTON MUNICIPAL COURT
DARLINGTON, SOUTH CAROLINA**

Table of Contents

	<u>PAGE</u>
STATE AUDITOR TRANSMITTAL LETTER	
I. INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT’S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES	1-3
II. ACCOUNTANT’S COMMENTS	
VIOLATIONS OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS	4
<u>TIMELY ACCURATE RECORDING AND REPORTING BY THE CITY</u> <i>Adherence to Judicial Department Fine Guidelines</i>	5
<u>PROPER VICTIM ASSISTANCE FUNDS ACCOUNTING</u> <i>Improper Documentation for Direct Victim Services Criteria</i>	5
APPENDIX	
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN	

State of South Carolina



Office of the State Auditor

1401 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1200
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

RICHARD H. GILBERT, JR., CPA
DEPUTY STATE AUDITOR

(803) 253-4160
FAX (803) 343-0723

October 23, 2007

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor
State of South Carolina
Columbia, South Carolina

The Honorable April Bailey, Clerk of Court
City of Darlington
Darlington, South Carolina

This report resulting from the application of certain agreed-upon procedures to certain accounting records of the City of Darlington Municipal Court System for the period April 1, 2006 through March 31, 2007, was issued by Cline Brandt Kochenower & Co., P.A., Certified Public Accountants, under contract with the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please let us know.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Richard H. Gilbert, Jr.", written in a cursive style.

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA
Deputy State Auditor

RHGjr/trb

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Richard H. Gilbert, Jr., CPA
Deputy State Auditor
Office of the State Auditor
Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below which were agreed to by the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor solely to assist these users in evaluating the performance of the City of Darlington Municipal Court System and to assist the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor in complying with the 2006 - 2007 General Appropriations Act (H. 4810) Section 72.80. April Bailey, Clerk of Court for the City of Darlington, is responsible for compliance with the requirements for the Municipal Court reporting and the South Carolina Office of the State Auditor is responsible for compliance with the requirements of the 2006 - 2007 General Appropriations Act (H. 4810) Section 72.80. This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and associated findings are as follows:

1. TIMELY REPORTING BY THE CLERK OF COURT

- We researched South Carolina Code of Laws Section 14-25-85 to determine the definition of timely reporting with respect to the Clerk of Court's responsibility for reporting fines, fees and assessments to the Municipal Treasurer.
- We inquired of the South Carolina Judicial Department to determine their requirements for both the manner in which partial pay fines and fees are to be allocated and the timing of the report and remittance submissions by the Clerk and the Treasurer.
- We inquired of the Clerk of Court and Municipal Treasurer to gain an understanding of their policy for ensuring timely reporting and to determine how the treasurer specifically documents timeliness.
- We inspected documentation, including the Clerk of Court Remittance Forms or equivalents for the months of April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007 to determine if the Clerk of Court submitted the reports to the Municipal Treasurer in accordance with the law.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

2. TIMELY ACCURATE RECORDING AND REPORTING BY THE CITY

- We traced each month's reporting by the Clerk of Court to the Municipal Treasurer's Office and to the City's general ledger accounts for the assessments (Sections 14-1-208(A), (B) and (D)) and victim assistance surcharge (Section 14-1-211) for the period April 1, 2006 – March 31, 2007.
- We compared the amounts reported on the Clerk of Court Remittance Forms or equivalents to the Clerk of Court's software system-generated report summaries for three judgmentally determined test months. We tested the system-generated reports for compliance with various laws including Section 35.11 of the General Appropriations Act for the fiscal year 2006 – 2007 and with South Carolina Judicial Department training instructions and interpretations.
- We judgmentally selected and compared individual fine and assessment amounts recorded in the Clerk of Court's software system-generated detail reports to the Judicial Department guidelines range for the offense code to see if the fine and assessment were within the minimum and maximum range.

Our finding is reported under "TIMELY ACCURATE RECORDING AND REPORTING BY THE CITY" in the Accountants' Comments section of this report.

3. PROPER VICTIM ASSISTANCE FUNDS ACCOUNTING

- We inquired as to the format determined by City council and local policy for record keeping as it relates to fines and assessments in accordance with Section 14-1-208(E)(4).
- We compared the fiscal year-ended June 30, 2006 audited Victim Assistance Fund fund balance with all adjustments to the fund balance shown in the Schedule of Fines, Assessments and Surcharges on page 55 of the audited financial statement and to the beginning fund balance as adjusted in that fund for fiscal year 2007.
- We judgmentally selected a sample of Victim Assistance Fund reimbursable expenditures and verified that these expenditures were in compliance with Section 14-1-208(E) and Section 14-1-211(B).

Our finding is reported under "PROPER VICTIM ASSISTANCE FUNDS ACCOUNTING" in the Accountants' Comments section of this report.

4. TIMELY ACCURATE REPORTING TO THE STATE TREASURER

- We vouched the amounts reported in the South Carolina State Treasurer's Revenue Remittance Forms to Clerk of Court Remittance Forms or equivalents for the period April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007.
- We scanned the South Carolina State Treasurer's Revenue Remittance Forms for timely filing in accordance with Section 14-1-208(B).
- We traced amounts recorded in the City's financial statement Schedule of Fines, Assessments and Surcharges on page 55 of the year ended June 30, 2006 report related to fines and assessments revenues reporting in accordance with Section 14-1-208(E) to supporting schedules used in the audit to comply with Section 14-1-208(E).
- We traced and agreed amounts in the supporting schedules to the Clerk of Court Remittance Forms or South Carolina State Treasurer's Revenue Remittance Forms.

We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an audit the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on compliance with the collection and distribution of court generated revenue at any level of court for the twelve months ended March 31, 2007 and, furthermore, we were not engaged to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal controls over compliance with the laws, rules and regulations described in paragraph one and the procedures of this report. Had we performed additional procedures other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor, Chairmen of the House Ways and Means Committee, Senate Finance Committee, House Judiciary Committee, Senate Judiciary Committee, members of the Darlington City Council, city clerk of court, city treasurer, State Treasurer, State Office of Victim Assistance, Chief Justice, and the Office of the State Auditor and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



May 3, 2007

ACCOUNTANTS' COMMENTS

**CITY OF DARLINGTON MUNICIPAL COURT
DARLINGTON, SOUTH CAROLINA**
State Auditor's Report
March 31, 2007

VIOLATIONS OF STATE LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS

Management of the entity is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls, to ensure compliance with State Laws, Rules or Regulations. The procedures agreed to by the entity require that we plan and perform the engagement to determine whether any violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations occurred.

The conditions described in this section have been identified as violations of State Laws, Rules or Regulations.

CITY OF DARLINGTON MUNICIPAL COURT
DARLINGTON, SOUTH CAROLINA
State Auditor's Report, Continued
March 31, 2007

TIMELY ACCURATE RECORDING AND REPORTING BY THE CITY

ADHERENCE TO JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT FINE GUIDELINES

CONDITION: The Municipal Court Judge was not adhering to the Judicial Department minimum/maximum fine guidelines included in legislation.

CRITERIA: Judicial Department Guidelines for Fines – Minimums and Maximums. These guidelines are obtained from the minimum and maximum fines recorded in the respective laws.

CAUSE: The City rounds maximum fines up which inadvertently places the fine outside of the range set by the law.

EFFECT: By rounding the fines up the City is violating the maximum fine established by law.

AUDITORS' RECOMMENDATION: We recommend that the City comply with the fine guidelines.

PROPER VICTIM ASSISTANCE FUNDS ACCOUNTING

IMPROPER DOCUMENTATION FOR DIRECT VICTIM SERVICES CRITERIA

CONDITION: The City has expended Victim Assistance funds on expenditures that do not adhere to the authoritative guidance. The invoices supporting these expenditures do not substantiate compliance with the direct victim services criteria established by law. The expenditures appear to be for routine law enforcement goods or services.

CRITERIA: The South Carolina Victim Assistance Network has suggested a list of 16 tier 1 items qualifying as direct victim services expenditures. This list is supplied as Attachment L in the Judicial Department memo from Robert McCurdy dated June 20, 2006 for the 2006-2007 fiscal year. This list gives an authoritative example of what meets the requirements of Article 15, Title 16 of the South Carolina Code of Laws.

CAUSE: The Police Chief did not follow the guidance when determining how to spend the monies in the Victim Assistance Fund.

EFFECT: The City incorrectly charged these expenditures to the Victim Assistance fund.

AUDITORS' RECOMMENDATION: The City should obtain the South Carolina Victim Assistance Network approved list and become familiar with its contents to ensure the expenditure is properly chargeable to the Victim Assistance Fund. Unless the City can provide additional support documenting that the expenditures meet the criteria of the South Carolina Victim Assistance Network approved list the City should reimburse the Victim Assistance Fund.

CITY OF DARLINGTON MUNICIPAL COURT
DARLINGTON, SOUTH CAROLINA
State Auditor's Report, Continued
March 31, 2007

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

Management has elected not to respond.